

Climate Control, Inc. Vs. Hill

Climate Control, Inc. Vs. Hill

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/99797

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Nov-14-1960

Appeal No. : 364 U.S. 409

Appellant : Climate Control, Inc.

Respondent : Hill

Judgement :

CLIMATE CONTROL, INC. v. HILL - 364 U.S. 409 (1960)

U.S. Supreme Court CLIMATE CONTROL, INC. v. HILL, 364 U.S. 409 (1960) **364 U.S. 409**

CLIMATE CONTROL, INC., v. HILL ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA.

No. 251.

Decided November 14, 1960.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: 86 Ariz. 180, 342 P.2d 854; 87 Ariz. 201, 349 P.2d 771.

William R. Meagher for appellant.

William W. Stevenson, Samuel H. Morris, Richard M. Fennemore, Daniel E. Cracchiolo, J. A. Riggins, Jr., Denison Kitchel, Francis J. Ryley, Ivan Robinette, Edward Jacobson, Howard Twitty, John R. Franks and Richard G. Kleindienst for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

[364 U.S. 409](#) (1960) "> U.S. Supreme Court BOBO v. MAYOR & COUNCILMEN OF SAVANNAH BEACH, [364 U.S. 409](#) (1960) **364 U.S. 409**

BOBO v. MAYOR & COUNCILMEN OF SAVANNAH BEACH, GEORGIA.
APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA.

No. 409.

Decided November 14, 1960.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: 216 Ga. 12, 114 S. E. 2d 374.

Erwin A. Friedman for appellant.

PER CURIAM.

The appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Page 364 U.S. 409, 410

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com