

United States Vs. Haley

United States Vs. Haley

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/99737

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Feb-24-1959

Appeal No. : 358 U.S. 644

Appellant : United States

Respondent : Haley

Judgement :

UNITED STATES v. HALEY - 358 U.S. 644 (1959)

U.S. Supreme Court UNITED STATES v. HALEY, 358 U.S. 644 (1959) **358 U.S. 644**

UNITED STATES v. HALEY.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT

OF TEXAS. No. 587.

Decided February 24, 1959.

166 F. Supp. 336, reversed.

Solicitor General Rankin, Assistant Attorney General Hansen, Charles H. Weston,
R. L. Farrington, Neil Brooks and Donald A. Campbell for the United States.

William F. Billings, James P. Donovan and Daniel L. O'Connor for appellee.

PER CURIAM.

The judgment is reversed. Wickard v. Filburn, [317 U.S. 111](#) .

[358 U.S. 644](#) (1959) "> U.S. Supreme Court LANDMAN v. MIEDZINSKI, [358 U.S. 644](#) (1959) **358 U.S. 644**

LANDMAN ET AL. v. MIEDZINSKI, SHERIFF, ET AL.

APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND.

No. 589.

Decided February 24, 1959.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: 218 Md. 3, 145 A. 2d 220.

F. Joseph Donohue and Harold C. Faulkner for appellants.

C. Ferdinand Sybert, Attorney General of Maryland, Stedman Prescott, Jr., Deputy Attorney General, and James H. Norris, Jr. for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Page 358 U.S. 644, 645

