

Mills Mill Vs. Hawkins

Mills Mill Vs. Hawkins

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/99508

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Mar-03-1958

Appeal No. : 355 U.S. 605

Appellant : Mills Mill

Respondent : Hawkins

Judgement :

MILLS MILL v. HAWKINS - 355 U.S. 605 (1958)

U.S. Supreme Court MILLS MILL v. HAWKINS, 355 U.S. 605 (1958) **355 U.S. 605**

MILLS MILL ET AL. v. HAWKINS ET AL., CONSTITUTING THE UNA WATER DISTRICT COMMISSION.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA. No. 670.

Decided March 3, 1958.

Appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

Reported below: ____ S. C. ____.

L. W. Perrin, Jr., Edward P. Perrin and J. Davis Kerr for appellants.

Harvey W. Johnson for appellees.

PER CURIAM.

The motion to dismiss is granted and the appeal is dismissed for want of a substantial federal question.

[355 U.S. 605](#) (1958) "> U.S. Supreme Court KLIG v. ROGERS, [355 U.S. 605](#) (1958) **355 U.S. 605**

KLIG v. ROGERS, ATTORNEY GENERAL.

CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF

COLUMBIA CIRCUIT. No. 143.

Decided March 3, 1958.

Upon suggestion of mootness, judgment of Court of Appeals vacated and case remanded to District Court with directions to dismiss.

Reported below: 100 U.S. App. D.C. 294, [244 F.2d 742](#) .

Jack Wasserman and David Carliner for petitioner.

Solicitor General Rankin for respondent.

PER CURIAM.

Upon suggestion of mootness by all of the parties, the judgment of the Court of Appeals is vacated and the case is remanded to the District Court with directions to dismiss the cause as moot.

