

California Vs. Washington

California Vs. Washington

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/99334

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Nov-10-1958

Appeal No. : 358 U.S. 64

Appellant : California

Respondent : Washington

Judgement :

CALIFORNIA v. WASHINGTON - 358 U.S. 64 (1958)

U.S. Supreme Court CALIFORNIA v. WASHINGTON, 358 U.S. 64 (1958) **358 U.S. 64**

CALIFORNIA v. WASHINGTON.

ON MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BILL OF COMPLAINT.

No. 12, Original.

Argued October 15-16, 1958.

Decided November 10, 1958.

Motion for leave to file bill of complaint denied.

Wallace Howland, Assistant Attorney General of California, argued the cause for plaintiff. With him on the brief were Edmund G. Brown, Attorney General, and Leonard M. Sperry, Jr., Deputy Attorney General.

John J. O'Connell, Attorney General of Washington, and Thomas R. Garlington argued the cause for defendant. With them on the brief was Franklin K. Thorp, Assistant Attorney General.

Louis J. Lefkowitz, Attorney General, and Paxton Blair, Solicitor General, filed a brief for the State of New York, as amicus curiae, in support of the position taken by the plaintiff in its complaint.

PER CURIAM.

The motion for leave to file bill of complaint is denied. U.S. Const., Amend. XXI, 2; Indianapolis Brewing Co. v. Liquor Control Commission, [305 U.S. 391](#) ; Joseph S. Finch & Co. v. McKittrick, [305 U.S. 395](#) ; Mahoney v. Joseph Triner Corp., [304 U.S. 401](#) ; State Board of California v. Young's Market Co., [299 U.S. 59](#) .

Page 358 U.S. 64, 65

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com