

Jones Vs. Opelika

Jones Vs. Opelika

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/97498

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : May-03-1943

Appeal No. : 319 U.S. 103

Appellant : Jones

Respondent : Opelika

Judgement :

Jones v. Opelika - 319 U.S. 103 (1943)

U.S. Supreme Court Jones v. Opelika, 319 U.S. 103 (1943)

Jones v. Opelika

No. 280, October Term, 1941

Reargued March 10, 11, 1943

Decided May 3, 1943

319 U.S. 103

CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF ALABAMA

SYLLABUS

Upon rehearing, 318 U.S. 796, the judgments heretofore entered in these cases, [316 U. S. 316](#) U.S. 584, affirming the judgments of the state courts, are vacated, and the judgments of the state courts are reversed. P. [319 U. S. 104](#) .

242 Ala. 549, 7 So.2d 503, reversed.

202 Ark. 614, 151 S.W.2d 1000, reversed.

58 Ariz. 144, 118 P.2d 97, reversed.

Page 319 U. S. 104

PER CURIAM (announced by MR. JUSTICE DOUGLAS).

The judgments in these cases were affirmed at the October Term, 1941. [316 U. S. 316](#) U.S. 584. Because the issues in all three cases were of the same character as those brought before us in other cases by applications for certiorari at the present term, we ordered a reargument and heard these cases together with *Murdock v. Pennsylvania*, *post*, p. [319 U. S. 105](#) . For the reasons stated in the opinion of the Court in the *Murdock* case and in the dissenting opinions filed in the present cases after the argument last term, the Court is of opinion that the judgment in each case should be reversed. The judgments of this Court heretofore entered in these cases are therefore vacated, and the judgments of the state courts are reversed.

So ordered.

For dissenting opinions of MR. JUSTICE REED, and MR. JUSTICE FRANKFURTER, *see post*, pp. [319 U. S. 117](#) -140.