

Wainer Vs. United States

Wainer Vs. United States

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/96304

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : Nov-09-1936

Appeal No. : 299 U.S. 92

Appellant : Wainer

Respondent : United States

Judgement :

Wainer v. United States - 299 U.S. 92 (1936)

U.S. Supreme Court Wainer v. United States, 299 U.S. 92 (1936)

Wainer v. United States

No. 51

Argued October 12, 1936

Decided November 9, 1936

299 U.S. 92

CERTIORARI TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

SYLLABUS

1. Federal statutes taxing the carrying on of the wholesale liquor business and imposing penalties for so doing without the payment of the tax were not in direct conflict with the National Prohibition Act and were reenacted by the Act of November 23, 1921, the "Willis-Campbell Act." P. [299 U. S. 93](#) .

2. Such a tax is not a licensing of the liquor business, but is an excise upon the doing of it, whether lawfully or unlawfully. *Id.*

82 F.2d 305 affirmed.

Certiorari, 298 U.S. 652, to review the affirmance of a sentence for dealing in liquor without having paid the special tax.

MR. JUSTICE ROBERTS delivered the opinion of the Court.

The petitioners were convicted and sentenced in the District Court under an indictment, the second count of which charged them with conducting the business of wholesale liquor dealers without having paid the special tax imposed by the federal revenue laws. The judgment was affirmed by the Circuit Court of Appeals. [[Footnote 1](#)] Certiorari was granted limited to the question whether the statute

Page 299 U. S. 93

upon which the second count of the indictment was based was repealed by the National Prohibition Act [[Footnote 2](#)] and has not been reenacted.

Many of the existing revenue acts imposing taxes in respect of manufacture and sale of intoxicating liquors were superseded by the National Prohibition Act. [[Footnote 3](#)] The effect of 5 of the Willis-Campbell Act [[Footnote 4](#)] was to reenact all such laws in existence when national prohibition became effective, save such as were in direct conflict with any provision of the National Prohibition Act or the Willis-Campbell Act. [[Footnote 5](#)] The statutes taxing the business of conducting a wholesale liquor business and imposing the penalties for so doing without the payment of the tax [[Footnote 6](#)] were not in direct conflict with the

prohibition act, and were therefore reenacted. [[Footnote 7](#)] The difficulty of paying the excise upon the privilege of carrying on a business which is prohibited does not preclude the prescription of sanctions for nonpayment. [[Footnote 8](#)] Petitioners insist it is a contradiction in terms to say the laws of the United States at the same time prohibit and license an occupation. The contention is based on misconception of the nature of the exaction. The United States has not licensed the liquor business but, as clearly within its power, has laid an

Page 299 U. S. 94

excise upon the doing of the business whether lawfully or unlawfully conducted. [[Footnote 9](#)]

The judgment is

Affirmed.

MR. JUSTICE STONE took no part in the consideration or decision of this case.

[[Footnote 1](#)]

82 F.2d 305.

[[Footnote 2](#)]

Act of October 28, 1919, c. 85, 41 Stat. 305, U.S.C. Tit. 27, *passim*.

[[Footnote 3](#)]

United States v. Yuginovich, [256 U. S. 450](#) .

[[Footnote 4](#)]

Nov. 23, 1921, c. 134, 42 Stat. 222, U.S.C. Tit. 27, 3.

[[Footnote 5](#)]

United States v. Stafoff, [260 U. S. 477](#) .

[[Footnote 6](#)]

R.S. 3242, U.S.C. Tit. 26, 1397(b).

[[Footnote 7](#)]

United States v. Remus, [260 U. S. 477](#) , [260 U. S. 479](#) -480. The decision to the contrary by the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in *Bailey v. United States*, 5 F.2d 437, was not followed by the same court in the later case of *Anderson v. United States*, 30 F.2d 485.

[[Footnote 8](#)]

United States v. One Ford Coupe Automobile, [272 U. S. 321](#) , [272 U. S. 327](#) .

[[Footnote 9](#)]

United States v. Constantine, [296 U. S. 287](#) , [296 U. S. 293](#) .