

Deepak Kumar and Others Vs. State and Another

Deepak Kumar and Others Vs. State and Another

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/948070

Court : Delhi

Decided On : Mar-28-2012

Judge : Suresh Kait

Appeal No. : CRL.M.C. No. 1098 OF 2012, 1099 OF 2012, 1100 OF 2012

Appellant : Deepak Kumar and Others

Respondent : State and Another

Judgement :

SURESH KAIT SURESH KAIT (Oral)

Crl.M.A.No.3882/2012(exemption) in Crl. M.C. 1098/2012

Crl.M.A.No.3866/2012(exemption) in Crl. M.C. 1099/2012

Crl.M.A.No.3887/2012(exemption) in Crl. M.C. 1100/2012

Exemption applications allowed subject to just exceptions. Criminal M.A.s stand disposed of.

+ CRL.M.C. 1098/2012

+ CRL.M.C. 1099/2012

+ CRL.M.C. 1100/2012

1. Vide the instant petitions, petitioners have sought for quashing of FIR no. 74 dated 01.04.2009 registered for the offences punishable under Section 354/509/506/34 Indian Penal Code, 1860, FIR no. 75 dated 01.04.2009 for the offences punishable under Section 323/354/509/506 and FIR no. 76/2009 dated 02.04.2009 for the offences punishable under Section 324/34 Indian Penal Code, 1860 all registered at PS-Kalyan Puri.

2. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners submits that all the three FIRs are crossed FIR against both the parties.

3. It is further submitted that now the parties have entered into a settlement and all the respondents settled all the issues qua the aforesaid FIR, therefore, they are no more interested to pursue the case mentioned above. Therefore, instant petitions may be allowed.

4. All the respondents / complainants of the FIRs are personally present in the court with their counsel namely Mr. Navin Singh, Advocate. SI Pawan Kumar, IO of the Case present in the court has identified all the respondents / complainants. 5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submit that all the respondents have settled all the issues qua the FIRs mentioned above against all the petitioners and are no more interested to pursue the case further. 6. FIR no.74/2009, lodged on the complaint of Meenu against her neighbourers namely Pradeep Kr. Garg, Rajiv Garg and Mohit Garg by fianc of Aman Richard / daughter of Smt. Saroj Devi. FIR no. 75/2009, lodged on the complaint made by Smt. Saroj Devi against Aman Richard (now her son-in-law). FIR no. 76/2009, lodged on the complaint made by Aman Richard against Deepak Kumar (now his brother-in-law). 7. Aman Richard and Meenu are of a new generation. They were in love. They wanted to be life partners, which was not acceptable to the family members of Meenu. They did not succumb to the dectate of her family. They continued to fight with the strong determination that they would marry to each other. Since, this marriage would be in inter-caste, therefore, by breaking the caste barrier, finally they succeeded and tied them in a knot of marriage. 8. Now all of them become close relatives to each, therefore, the matters have been settled. 9. In the facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate to quash FIR No. 74/2009 for the

offences punishable under Section 354/509/506/34 Indian Penal Code, 1860, FIR no. 75/2009 for the offences punishable under Section 323/354/509/506 and FIR no. 76/2009 for the offences punishable under Section 324/34 Indian Penal Code, 1860 all registered at PS-Kalyan Puri.10. Accordingly, CrI. M.C.1098/2012, CrI. M.C.1099/2012 and CrI. M.C.1100/2012 are hereby allowed.11. Dasti.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com