

V. Ramalingam Vs. the Government of Tamil Nadu, Represented by Its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Water Supply Department and Others

V. Ramalingam Vs. the Government of Tamil Nadu, Represented by Its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Water Supply Department and Others

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/934226

Court : Chennai Madurai

Decided On : Sep-11-2012

Judge : R. Sudhakar

Appeal No. : W.P.(MD)No.1101 of 2012

Appellant : V. Ramalingam

Respondent : The Government of Tamil Nadu, Represented by Its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Water Supply Department and Others

Advocate for Pet/Ap. : For the Petitioner: R. Subramanian, Advocate. For the Respondents: R1 and R2 - V. Muruganantham, Additional Govt. Pleader, R3 - K. Muraleedharan, R4 - V. Raghavachari, Advocates.

Judgement :

(Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus to direct the first respondent to dispose of the Revision Petition filed by the petitioner on 08.10.2007 within a time frame fixed by this Court.)

1. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner to issue a writ of Mandamus to direct the first respondent to dispose of the Revision Petition filed by the petitioner on 08.10.2007 within a time frame fixed by this Court.

2. Heard Mr.R.Subramanian, learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner, Mr.V.Muruganantham, learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 1 and 2, Mr.K.Muraleedharan, learned Counsel appearing for the third respondent and Mr.V.Raghavachari, learned Counsel appearing for the fourth respondent.

3. By consent, the writ petitions itself are taken up for final disposal.

4. The petitioner and the fourth respondent are the contesting parties. The third respondent - President of Kovil Pappakudi Panchayat, appears to have changed the property tax assessment from that of the petitioner in favour of the fourth respondent.

5. Aggrieved thereby, the petitioner has filed a Revision Petition before the first respondent on 08.10.2007.

6. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that it is pending before the first respondent Government for adjudication.

7. In such view of the matter, the writ petition has been filed to dispose of the said Revision Petition.

8. Without going into the merits of the petitioner's claim, the first respondent is directed to dispose of the Revision Petition filed by the petitioner, if not already disposed of, on its own merit and in accordance with law within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, after hearing the petitioner as well as the fourth respondent, who is already the third respondent in the Revision Petition. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the authority concerned is free to deal with the matter on its own merit as per law.

9. The writ petition is disposed of as above. No costs.

