

**Stadelman Vs. Miner**

**Stadelman Vs. Miner**

**SooperKanoon Citation :** [sooperkanoon.com/92932](http://sooperkanoon.com/92932)

**Court :** US Supreme Court

**Decided On :** 1918

**Appeal No. :** 246 U.S. 311

**Appellant :** Stadelman

**Respondent :** Miner

**Judgement :**

Stadelman v. Miner - 246 U.S. 311 (1918)

U.S. Supreme Court Stadelman v. Miner, 246 U.S. 311 (1918)

**Stadelman v. Miner**

**No. 644**

**Petition for rehearing**

**Leave to file granted, petition allowed**

**and former dismissal vacated March 18, 1918**

**246 U.S. 311**

*ERROR TO THE SUPREME COURT*

## SYLLABUS

The case having been dismissed for want of a federal question, the court grants leave to file, and treats as filed, a petition for rehearing and orders that the case stand for consideration on the prior submission, the fact that a federal question was raised and decided on a former hearing in the state court being shown by the official report of its opinion and the failure of counsel to include that opinion in the record, as should have been done, or to refer to the decision in their briefs and arguments being due to excusable inadvertence.

Memorandum opinion by direction of the Court, by MR. CHIEF JUSTICE WHITE.

There being nothing in the record to establish that the federal question relied upon was raised, considered, or decided below, and indeed it appearing, so far as the record is concerned, that the federal question was for the first time stated in the assignments made for the purpose of the writ of error from this Court, the case was dismissed for want of jurisdiction upon authorities cited. 245 U.S. 636. On this application, it is stated that, in a previous hearing of the case in the court below, the federal question relied upon in this Court was pressed, and, moreover, was expressly decided, reference being made to the opinion so showing reported in 83 Ore. 351. The application for leave prays that the clerk below be directed

Page 246 U. S. 312

to certify the opinion as part of the record, and thus correct the inadvertence in not having previously included it and the oversight of counsel in not having referred to it in their briefs or arguments as affording in this Court the basis of authority to review.

As the opinion referred to establishes that the federal question was considered and decided and as that opinion should have properly been included in the record, it follows that, if the mistake of the parties in failing to include or refer to it be overlooked and corrected, which we think should be done, it would result also that

the ground upon which the order of dismissal was made would be without foundation, and therefore should be set aside. To that end, leave to file the petition is granted, and, acting upon it as filed, our former judgment of dismissal will be set aside and the case will stand for consideration under the prior submission. Moreover, for the purpose of disposing of the cause, the opinion of the court below rendered on the previous hearing will be considered as part of the record without further formal order to the court below to supply the same.

*And it is so ordered.*

**SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - [sooperkanoon.com](http://sooperkanoon.com)**