

T.Pazhanivel. Vs. the Director of Rural Development

T.Pazhanivel. Vs. the Director of Rural Development

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/927205

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Apr-11-2012

Judge : R.Sudhakar, J.

Acts : [Constitution of India](#) - Articles 226

Appeal No. : Writ Petition No.9538 of 2012 and M.P.No.1 of 2012

Appellant : T.Pazhanivel.

Respondent : The Director of Rural Development

Advocate for Def. : Mr.V.Jayaprakash Narayanan, Adv.

Advocate for Pet/Ap. : Mr.S.D.Santhanakrishnan. Adv.

Judgement :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the [Constitution of India](#) praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 4 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 21.2.2012 and to take necessary action against the fifth respondent, who had committed acts of commission and omission, within a time prescribed by this Court.

ORDER

1. Writ Petition is filed praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 4 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 21.2.2012 and to take necessary action against the fifth respondent, who had committed acts of commission and omission, within a time prescribed by this Court.

2. Mr.V.Jayaprakash Narayanan, learned Additional Government Pleader takes notice on behalf of the respondents 1 to 4. By consent the writ petition is taken up for final disposal. In view of the nature of order to be passed, the notice to the fifth respondent is dispensed with.

3. Petitioner has grievance against the fifth respondent in the working of the panchayat. In this regard, petitioner claims that he has sent a legal notice dated 21.2.2012 through an advocate to the District Collector, the second respondent and the Assistant Director of Rural Development Agency, the fourth respondent and the proof of delivery is enclosed by the letter dated 3.3.2012 of Chief Post Master, Anna Road Head Office.

4. This Court at this stage is not inclined to go into the merits of the complaint/legal notice except to direct the respondents 2 and 4 to look into the complaint/legal notice dated 21.2.2012 on its own merits and in accordance with law expeditiously. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion against the fifth respondent against whom the complaint is made. The authority shall proceed in the matter by following the due process of law and also avoid the allegation of principles of natural justice.

5. The Writ Petition is disposed of as above. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com