

Anil Jain Vs. State and anr.

Anil Jain Vs. State and anr.

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/922467

Court : Delhi

Decided On : Nov-02-2011

Judge : Suresh Kait, J.

Acts : [Indian Electricity Act, 1910](#) - Sections 39, 44; [Indian Penal Code \(IPC\), 1860 \(IPC\)](#) - Section 379

Appeal No. : CRL.M.C. No.2439 of 2011

Appellant : Anil Jain

Respondent : State and anr.

Advocate for Def. : Mr. Vikram Nandrajog

Judgement :

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that FIR No.429/1999 dated 10.6.1999 under Section 39 and 44 of [Indian Electricity Act, 1910](#), read with Section 379 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 was registered at Police Station Badli against the petitioner on the complaint of respondent No.2. Crl

2. Further submits, the entire dues have been paid to respondent No.2 and thereafter the matter has been compromised between the parties. The respondent No.2 has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

3. Mr. Vikram Nandrajog, learned counsel for the respondent No.2 has verified from his client that the total payment has been received. He has no objection if the present FIR is quashed since his client has resolved all the disputes for the said FIR.

4. Keeping the statement of counsel for the respondent No.2 in view, the Criminal M.C. No.2439/2011 is allowed. The FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed. CRL.M.A. 8815/2011

1. The stay application is infructuous.

2. Dasti.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com