

Valdes Vs. Munich

Valdes Vs. Munich

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/90758

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : 1909

Appeal No. : 212 U.S. 568

Appellant : Valdes

Respondent : Munich

Judgement :

VALDES v. MUNICH - 212 U.S. 568 (1909)

U.S. Supreme Court VALDES v. MUNICH, 212 U.S. 568 (1909)

212 U.S. 568

RAMON VALDES, Plaintiff in Error,

v.

VENTURA MUNICH

No. 457.

RAMON VALDES, Plaintiff in Error,

v.

O. M. WOOD

No. 473.

RAMON VALDES, Plaintiff in Error,

v.

MARIA VENEGA PERIANES

No. 474.

Supreme Court of the United States

February 1, 1909

Page 212 U.S. 568, 569

Messrs. F. Kingsbury Curtis, John G. Carlisle, and Henry A. Stickney for plaintiff in error.

Mr. Willis Sweet for defendants in error.

Per Curiam: Writs of Error dismissed for want of jurisdictional amounts. Act of April 12, 1900, chap. 191, 31 Stat. at L. 77, 34, 35; act of March 2, 1901, chap. 812, 31 Stat. at L. 953, 3; Royal Ins. Co. v. Martin, [192 U.S. 149, 159](#) , 48 S. L. ed. 385, 388, 24 Sup. Ct. Rep. 247; Ortega v. Lara, [202 U.S. 339](#) , 50 L. ed. 1055, 26 Sup. Ct. Rep. 707; Perez v. Fernandez, [202 U.S. 80](#) , 50 L. ed. 942, 26 Sup. Ct. Rep. 561; Garrozi v. Dastas, [204 U.S. 64, 73](#) , 51 S. L. ed. 369, 376, 27 Sup. Ct. Rep. 224.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com