

R.Saravanan ... Vs. the Block Development Officer, and anr.

R.Saravanan ... Vs. the Block Development Officer, and anr.

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/905347

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Jul-21-2010

Judge : D.Hariparanthaman, J.

Appeal No. : W.P.No. 10474 of 2010

Appellant : R.Saravanan ...

Respondent : The Block Development Officer, and anr.

Advocate for Def. : Mr.N.Senthilkumar, Adv.

Advocate for Pet/Ap. : Mr.A.Ilaya Perumal, Adv.

Judgement :

1. The petitioner states that he joined as Panchayat Assistant in Kethureddipatti Village in the year 2007. While so, he was placed under suspension by order dated 24.09.2009 based on serious allegation that he received bribe from co-workman one Gopal for giving salary arrears and he was also arrested on 24.09.2009. He made a representation dated 16.04.2010 to revoke the suspension and to reinstate him in service. The petitioner has come with the writ petition to direct the second respondent to dispose of his representation dated 16.04.2010. Since the prayer in the writ petition is a very limited one, a direction is issued to the second respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner and to pass orders thereon on merits and in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. It is made clear that there is no bar

for the second respondent to keep the petitioner under suspension till the criminal case is over and till the enquiry is completed, since as the charge against the petitioner is severe in nature. However as a representation is made by the petitioner, the second respondent is directed to consider and pass orders within a period eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com