

**Earle Vs. Conway**

**Earle Vs. Conway**

**SooperKanoon Citation :** [sooperkanoon.com/88899](http://sooperkanoon.com/88899)

**Court :** US Supreme Court

**Decided On :** May-14-1900

**Appeal No. :** 178 U.S. 456

**Appellant :** Earle

**Respondent :** Conway

**Judgement :**

Earle v. Conway - 178 U.S. 456 (1900)

U.S. Supreme Court Earle v. Conway, 178 U.S. 456 (1900)

**Earle v. Conway**

**No. 219**

**Argued April 11, 1900**

**Decided May 14, 1900**

**178 U.S. 456**

*ERROR TO THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA*

**SYLLABUS**

A receiver of a National Bank may be notified, by service upon him of an attachment issued from a state court, of the nature and extent of the interest sought to be acquired by the plaintiff in the attachment in the assets in his custody, but, for reasons stated in *Earle v. Pennsylvania*, ante, [178 U. S. 449](#) , such an attachment cannot create any lien upon specific assets of the bank in the hands of the receiver, nor disturb his custody of those assets, nor prevent him from paying to the Treasurer of the United States, subject to the order of the Comptroller of the Currency, all moneys coming to his hands or realized by him as receiver from the sale of the property and assets of the bank.

The case is stated in the opinion.

MR. JUSTICE HARLAN delivered the opinion of the Court.

This case differs somewhat in its facts from those in *Earle v. Pennsylvania*, ante, [178 U. S. 449](#) . It appears that, on February 24, 1898, the appellee Conway, in an action of assumpsit in the Court of Common Pleas of the County of Philadelphia, obtained

Page 178 U. S. 457

a judgment against one John G. Schall for \$1,012.43. Upon that judgment a writ of attachment was issued and served May 24 and 25, 1898, upon the Chestnut Street National Bank of Philadelphia and upon Earle, receiver, as garnishees, the receiver having been appointed January 29, 1898, commanding them to show cause on a day named why the judgment against Schall, with costs of writ, should not be levied of his effects in their hands.

The bank and the receiver entered their appearance as defendants and garnishees

"for the purpose only of moving said court to set aside the writ of summons in attachment sur-judgment against him and them, and to dismiss and vacate all proceedings in attachment therein against him or them."

That motion was made upon the ground that that court of common pleas was without jurisdiction under section 5242 of the Revised Statutes of the United States. The motion was denied, and the order of the court of common pleas was affirmed by the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania.

We are of opinion that it was not error to deny the motion to set aside the service of the writ of attachment on the bank and the receiver. No sound reason can be given why the receiver of a national bank may not be notified by service upon him of an attachment issued from a state court of the nature and extent of the interest asserted or sought to be acquired by the plaintiff in the attachment in the assets in his custody. But, for the reasons stated in *Earle v. Pennsylvania*, such an attachment cannot create any lien upon specific assets of the bank in the hands of the receiver, nor disturb his custody of those assets, nor prevent him from paying to the Treasurer of the United States, subject to the order of the Comptroller of the Currency, all moneys coming to his hands or realized by him as receiver from the sale of the property and assets of the bank. After the service of the attachment upon the receiver, it became his duty to report the facts to the Comptroller, and it then became the duty of the latter to hold any funds coming to his hands through the Treasurer of the United States as the proceeds of the sale of the bank's assets subject to any interest which the plaintiff may have legally acquired therein as against

Page 178 U. S. 458

his debtor under the attachment issued on the judgment in his favor in the state court.

As the judgment of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania goes no further than to sustain the right of the plaintiff to have the attachment served upon the receiver as garnishee, it is

*Affirmed.*

MR. JUSTICE WHITE dissents.

