

Mahammad YasIn Vs. Emperor

Mahammad YasIn Vs. Emperor

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/882113

Court : Kolkata

Decided On : Aug-14-1924

Reported in : AIR1925Cal782

Appellant : Mahammad Yasin

Respondent : Emperor

Judgement :

Walmsley, J.

1. The Appellant was the Chairman of the Union Committee at Chatmohor in the District of Pabna, and he has been convicted under Sections 218, 409 and 477-A of the Indian Penal Code. A concurrent sentence of three months has been passed under each of the Sections 218 and 409, I. P.C. There was also a fine imposed under Section 409, I. P.C., and no sentence was passed separately on the accused under Section 477-A, I.P.C.

2. The first point which is urged on behalf of the Appellant is that the proceedings were bad because there was no sanction, given by the Local Government as required by Section 197, Cr. P.C. I do not think there is any substance in this objection because it appears that a member of the Union Committee can be removed under certain circumstances by a Commissioner, so that it cannot be said of him that he is not removable from his office save by the Local Government.

3. The second objection is that there was no compliance with the provisions of Section 360, Cr. P.C., regarding the depositions of several of the witnesses. Reference is particularly made to P.W. Nos. 1, 3, 8, 9,10, 13,14, 15, 16,17 and 19. Reliance is placed upon the recent decision in the case of Hira Lal Ghose v. Emperor : AIR1924 Cal889 and it is urged that, in accordance with that decision, the evidence of these witnesses cannot be treated as evidence on which a conviction can be founded. There is an affidavit to the effect that there was not strict compliance with the law in reading over the evidence given by these witnesses. On the other hand, there is a letter from the Sessions Judge sending up a report of the learned pleader who conducted the prosecution. The statements made by that pleader seem to me to support the case of the Appellant, because it is evident that the pleader failed to understand the true nature of the requirements of Section 360. As I understand that section, both the witnesses whose statements have been recorded and the accused who is on trial are to be given an opportunity of knowing what has been recorded and obviously a mere reading over of the evidence by the witnesses cannot convey to the accused what has been recorded as the evidence given by the witnesses. It appears to me therefore, that we must hold that the evidence was not duly recorded as required by Section 360 and cannot, therefore, be used as the foundation of a conviction. The result is that the findings and sentences in this case must be set aside and the case remitted to the Sessions Court where it will be open to the authorities, if they think proper, to proceed against the accused de novo. The Appellant, we are told, is on bail. He may remain on that bail pending further orders by the District Magistrate.

Mukerji, J.

4. I agree.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com