

Ex Parte Robinson

Ex Parte Robinson

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/82521

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : 1873

Appeal No. : 86 U.S. 513

Appellant : Ex Parte Robinson

Judgement :

Ex Parte Robinson - 86 U.S. 513 (1873)

U.S. Supreme Court Ex Parte Robinson, 86 U.S. 19 Wall. 513 513 (1873)

Ex Parte Robinson

86 U.S. (19 Wall.) 513

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT FOR

THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS

An appeal does not lie to this Court from an order of the district court disbarring an attorney. The remedy of the party, if any, is by mandamus. See the case as reported, supra.

Before the application for a mandamus was made to this Court as above reported, the petitioner, Robinson, had appealed

from the order of the district court disbarring him. The record being filed, he moved that the case be advanced on the calendar for hearing.

MR. JUSTICE CLIFFORD, after stating the facts respecting the order disbarring the appellant, delivered the opinion of the Court as follows:

The petitioner moves the Court to advance the case. Cases involving great hardships are frequently brought here for revision, and in such cases it is competent for the Court to advance the same on motion. Still, the motion must be denied, as it is well settled law that neither an appeal nor a writ of error will lie in such a case. Hence it was held in the case of *Ex Parte Bradley*, that mandamus from this Court to a subordinate court was a proper remedy to restore an attorney at law, disbarred by such subordinate court, for a contempt committed by him before another court, as in such a case the court issuing the order disbarring the attorney had no jurisdiction to pass the order.

Whether the present case can be distinguished from the case cited will not now be decided, but the Court is of opinion that the remedy of the party, if any, in this Court, is not by an appeal. [*](#)

Motion denied.

* [Ex Parte Bradley](#), 7 Wall. 364.