

**In Re: Muthu thevan**

**In Re: Muthu thevan**

**SooperKanoon Citation : [sooperkanoon.com/807242](http://sooperkanoon.com/807242)**

**Court : Chennai**

**Decided On : Oct-17-1909**

**Reported in : 5Ind.Cas.761**

**Judge : Miller, J.**

**Appellant : In Re: Muthu thevan**

**Judgement :**

ORDER

**Miller, J.**

1. I am unable to find any authority to support the Magistrates's view that a man may be required without fresh proceedings taken against him to find sureties a second time when in consequence of a breach, his original security is forfeited. The forfeiture of the security is prescribed as penalty for breach of the conditions of the bond and the Code does not provide for a renewal of the bond without fresh proceedings. The order is, therefore, set aside and the bail may be discharged.