

**In Re: the Public Prosecutor**

**In Re: the Public Prosecutor**

**SooperKanoon Citation :** [sooperkanoon.com/788179](http://sooperkanoon.com/788179)

**Court :** Chennai

**Decided On :** Jun-27-1950

**Reported in :** AIR1951Mad607; (1951)IMLJ14

**Judge :** Panchapakesa Ayyar, J.

**Acts :** [Reformatory Schools Act, 1897](#) - Sections 8

**Appeal No. :** Criminal Revn. Case No. 695 and Cri. Revn. Petn. No. 639 of 1950

**Appellant :** In Re: the Public Prosecutor

**Advocate for Pet/Ap. :** The Asst. Public Prosecutor

**Judgement :**

ORDER

**Panchapakesa Ayyar, J.**

1. The learned Public Prosecutor is right when he says that there is no provision to direct an order of detention in a Borstal School to run consecutively with such a previous order of detention, as in the case of a sentence of imprisonment. Besides detention in a Borstal School is a reformatory measure I like admonition and there is little point in piling detention on detention any more than in giving admonition after admonition. So, the learned Magistrate's order directing this detention to be consecutive to the previous one is set aside, and the detention directed here is

allowed to be merged in the previous detention (ordered in C. C. No. 6 of 1950, Sub-Divisional Magistrate Narasaraopet) and to run concurrently with it till that term is over.

**SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - [sooperkanoon.com](http://sooperkanoon.com)**