

Narayanan Vs. Narayanan and ors.

Narayanan Vs. Narayanan and ors.

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/784589

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Oct-08-1891

Reported in : (1892)ILR15Mad69

Judge : Arthur J.H. Collins, Kt., C.J. and ;Wilkinson, J.

Appellant : Narayanan

Respondent : Narayanan and ors.

Judgement :

1. We think that we should follow the principle laid down in Krishnasami v. Kanakasabdi I.L.R. 14 Mad. 183 and other cases instead of that laid down in Vydinatha v. Subramanya I.L.R. 8 Mad. 235 on the ground that when the Varanasi illom became extinct, there were, according to plaintiff's' own case, only two illoms entitled to share in the property of the extinct illom. The value of the suit is, therefore, the value of the share claimed, and the appeal lies to the District Court. We return the appeal to be presented in the proper Court. Appellant must pay respondents' costs in this Court.