

Ralston Vs. Bell

Ralston Vs. Bell

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/78121

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : 1796

Appeal No. : 2 U.S. 242

Appellant : Ralston

Respondent : Bell

Judgement :

RALSTON v. BELL - 2 U.S. 242 (1796)

U.S. Supreme Court RALSTON v. BELL, 2 U.S. 242 (1796)

2 U.S. 242 (Dall.)

Ralston Assignee

v.

Bell

Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

March Term, 1796

This was an action for money had and received, &c.; brought by Ralston, as assignee of Dewhurst, a bankrupt, against the defendant, who had sold goods of the bankrupt, by virtue of an authority from him; but, it appeared in evidence, that no money had been received by the defendant, at the time of commencing the

action.

The counsel for the defendant (Ingersoll, Lewis & Dallas) objected, that, on this evidence, the present action could not be maintained.

The counsel for the plaintiff (Rawle & Wilcocks) after some remarks, and citing Doug. 132, submitted to the decided inclination of the Court, and suffered

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com