

Mckimm Vs. Riddle

Mckimm Vs. Riddle

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/77973

Court : US Supreme Court

Decided On : 1788

Appeal No. : 2 U.S. 100

Appellant : Mckimm

Respondent : Riddle

Judgement :

MCKIMM v. RIDDLE - 2 U.S. 100 (1788)

U.S. Supreme Court MCKIMM v. RIDDLE, 2 U.S. 100 (1788)

2 U.S. 100 (Dall.)

M'Kimm et al. Executors

v.

Riddle*

Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

May Sessions, 1788

Assumpsit for goods sold and delivered. Pleas, non assumpsit, payment , &c.;

The plaintiffs, having proved the contract, were called on to produce their Letters Testamentary; but the Counsel insisted, that although they had them, they were not bound to produce them on the present issue.

By the Court: It is not necessary, under the pleas in this cause, to produce the Letters Testamentary. If the defendant wished to have them produced, he should have pleaded, and put the matter in issue. Footnotes

[[Footnote *](#)] Ruled at Carlisle Nisi Prius. (a.) 1 Dall. Rep. 261. Chapman versus Steinmetz.[McKimm v. Riddle

[Footnote 2](#) U.S. 100 (1788)]

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com