

P.K. Prasanthkumar Vs. State of Kerala

P.K. Prasanthkumar Vs. State of Kerala

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/64182

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Aug-03-2015

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice P.R.Ramachandra Menon

Appellant : P.K. Prasanthkumar

Respondent : State of Kerala

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM PRESENT: THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON & THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BABU MATHEW P.JOSEPH MONDAY, THE 3D DAY OF AUGUST 2015 12TH SRAVANA, 1937 OP(KAT).No. 263 of 2015 (Z) ----- (AGAINST THE

ORDER

/

JUDGMENT

IN TA812013 of KERALA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DATED 08.04.2015) PETITIONERS: ----- 1. PRASANTH KUMAR P.K. LABORATORY TECHNICIAN GRADE-I, E.S.I., HOSPITAL THOTTADA, KANNUR-7 RESIDING AT PRASANTHAM P.O. CHOMBALA, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT-673308.

2. ASOKAN K LABORATORY TECHNICIAN GRADE-I, E.S.I HOSPITAL THOTTADA, KANNUR-7, RESIDING AT NELLIYOTTIL HOSUE P.O.PALLUR, MAHE, KERALA-673333. BY ADV. SRI.P.M.PAREETH RESPONDENTS):

----- 1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT LABOUR AND REHABILITATION DEPARTMENT , GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001.

2. DIRECTOR OF INSURANCE MEDICAL SERVICES THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695014.

3. REGIONAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR (NORTH ZONE) INSURANCE MEDICAL SERVICES, KOZHIKODE, KERALA-673001. BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SHRI M. MOHAMMED SHAFI. THIS OP KERALA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON0308-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING: OP(KAT).No. 263 of 2015 (Z)

----- APPENDIX PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS
----- EXHIBIT P1: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE APPOINTMENT

ORDER

DATED178.2000 IN RESPECT OF THE PETITIONERS ISSUED BY THE THIRD RESPONDENT. EXHIBIT P2: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE

ORDER

DATED167.2001 REGULARISING THE APPOINTMENT OF THE FIRST PETITIONER ISSUED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT. EXHIBIT P3: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE GOVT.

ORDER

DATED111/89. EXHIBIT P4: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED221.2002. EXHIBIT P4: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE G.O.(P) 145/2006/FIN DATED253.2006. EXHIBIT P5: A TRUE COPY OF THE PROVISIONAL GRADATION LIST OF LAB TECHNICIAN GR-II ISSUED BY2E RESPONDENT. EXHIBIT P6: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE

JUDGMENT

DATED 31.12.2007 IN WPC 3220807 OF THIS HON'BLE COURT. EXHIBIT P7: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE PROMOTION

ORDER

DATED 16.12.2008 ISSUED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT. EXHIBIT P8: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE FINAL GRADATION LIST DATED 30.6.2003 ISSUED BY SECOND RESPONDENT. EXHIBIT P9: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGES OF THE SPECIAL RULES FOR THE KERALA INSURANCE MEDICAL SUBORDINATE SERVICE 1993 ISSUED AS PER G.O. DATED 29.3.93. EXHIBIT P10: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE

ORDER

NO.E4/9217/2003/DIMS DATED 19.5.2003. EXHIBIT P11: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE GOVT. LETTER DATED 14.10.2008. /TRUE COPY/ P.S. TO JUDGE. P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON & BABU MATHEW P. JOSEPH, JJ.

..... O.P.(KAT)No. 263
OF 2015..... Dated this the 3rd August,
2015

JUDGMENT

P.R. Ramachandra Menon, J: The verdict passed by the KAT in T.A.No.81 of 2013 relegating the applicants to move the Government with regard to the pre-dating of ratio promotion given to them as per Exhibit A7, is sought to be intercepted by filing this Original Petition.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the second applicant has already obtained the relief as observed by the Tribunal in paragraph '4' of Annexure A1 order and as such, the grievance remains only in respect of the first petitioner.

3. The case projected in the Original Petition is that because of non-finalisation of gradation list with regard to the post of Laboratory Technicians Gr.I, the ratio promotions were not being given and as such, the petitioners were being stagnated in the post of Laboratory Technician Gr.II. This made O.P.(KAT)No. 263

OF20152 them to approach this Court by filing a writ petition (W.P.(C) No.32208/07) wherein, Ext.P6 judgment was passed, directing the concerned respondents to effect ratio promotion (in the ratio of 1:1, by virtue of the change introduced as per Ext.P3). Pursuant to the above verdict, the matter was considered by the competent authority, who passed Ext.P7 order, whereby the ratio promotion was given to the petitioners ; however giving effect to the same only from 06.09.2000 in the case of the second petitioner and from 23.09.2000 in the case of the first petitioner . By virtue of the fact that there was no change in the nature of duties to be discharged, but for the change in the scale of pay, such ratio promotion had to be given w.e.f. the date of 'declaration of probation', in tune with the mandate of Rule 23(C) Part I, KSR. As per Ext.P8 gradation list, the first petitioner was ranked at Sl.No.16 and the second petitioner was ranked at Sl.No.15. As a matter of fact, 17 posts were available in the cadre of Laboratory Technician Gr.I and atleast 17 promotions were made as discernible from Ext.P7, wherein the last person was shown as 'Bijimole Joseph' (placed at Rank No.17 in Ext.P8 O.P.(KAT)No. 263 OF20153 Gradation List). It was in the said circumstance, that interference of this Court was sought for by filing W.P.(C) No.10462 of 2008, which was subsequently transferred to the Tribunal , where it was re-numbered as T.A.81 of 2013 4. A counter affidavit has been filed by the first respondent /State referring to granting of benefit to the second petitioner herein and as to the change in the effective date from 16.02.2008 to 20.11.2007 in the case of the first petitioner. The reason for such change was also sought to be explained. The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that since the stand of the first respondent has already been made clear by filing a counter affidavit, no effective purpose will be served by relegating the petitioners to move the Government as ordered in the T.A. by the Tribunal and hence this Original Petition. The learned Counsel further points out, that the reasoning given by the first respondent in their counter affidavit with reference to the inclusion of 'Blood Bank Technicians' in the concerned Branch and the change in the seniority list cannot be correct, as the posts of Laboratory Technician Gr.II and Blood Bank O.P.(KAT)No. 263 OF20154 Technician Gr.II are two different streams, which cannot be mixed up for fixing seniority by virtue of the Special Rules (The Special Rules for the Kerala Insurance Medical Subordinate Services, 1993), a copy of

which was produced as Ext.P9 (Annexure- A4/10).

5. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well, who submits that the contention of the petitioners with reference to Ext.P9 Special Rules and the distinct nature of the post also can be considered by the Government along with all the relevant aspects to be pointed out by the petitioners at the time of hearing.

6. In the above circumstance, this Court does not find it necessary to call for any interference with the direction given by the Tribunal, but for making it clear that the stand already taken by the first respondent with reference to the circumstances under which 'Blood Bank Technicians' came to be included in the relevant Branch and as to the resultant change in the seniority list by itself shall not bar the way of the Government in considering the matter in accordance with law, especially with O.P.(KAT)No. 263 OF20155 reference to Annexure A4 (Ext.P9) Special Rules. This is more so, since appointment under Rule '3' of Ext.P9 Special Rules in respect to the post of Laboratory Technician Gr.I (under Sl.No.10) stipulates that promotion shall only be by promotion from Category 18-Laboratory Technician Gr.II, while similar promotions in the case of Blood Bank Technicians Gr.I shall be by promotion from category 19 Blood Bank Technician Gr.II. The proceedings as above shall be finalised by the Government, after hearing the petitioners as already ordered by the Tribunal, within three months from the date of receipt of representation ordered to be preferred vide Annexure A1. The Original Petition is disposed of. P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, JUDGE. BABU MATHEW P. JOSEPH, JUDGE. Ik

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com