

Har Karan Singh and Others Vs. State of U. P. and Others

Har Karan Singh and Others Vs. State of U. P. and Others

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/484522

Court : Allahabad

Decided On : Sep-13-2000

Reported in : 2000(4)AWC3192; (2001)1UPLBEC84

Judge : A. K. Yog, J.

Acts : [Constitution of India](#) - Article 226

Appeal No. : C.M.W.P. No. 232 of 1998

Appellant : Har Karan Singh and Others

Respondent : State of U. P. and Others

Advocate for Def. : S. C.

Advocate for Pet/Ap. : V. K. Goel, Adv.

Judgement :

A. K. Yog, J.

1. Learned standing counsel states that counter-affidavit has not been filed and further time be granted. No reason disclosed. Time and again, this Court has made it clear that adjournment cannot be granted on mere asking and in routine manner. The tendency to assume that case shall be adjourned even on the excuse of drop of hat must be checked. Request for granting time is rejected.

2. The controversy raised in this case is squarely covered by a decision of this Court in *Radhey Mohan Pandey and others v. State of U. P. and others*, 1991 (18) ALR 302, and in *Chandigarh Administration v. Smt. Rajni Vali*, JT (2000) 1 SC 159 (Paras 6 to 10).

3. Petition is allowed on the same terms and conditions as contained in the aforesaid judgment of this Court.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com