

In Re: an Advocate

In Re: an Advocate

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/447847

Court : Allahabad

Decided On : Jul-22-1929

Reported in : AIR1930All22a; 122Ind.Cas.894; 123Ind.Cas.683

Judge : Shah Muhammad Sulaiman and ;Pullan, JJ.

Appellant : In Re: an Advocate

Judgement :

1. Mr. Shushil Chandra Chaturvedi of Firozabad is already on the roll of vakils but is actually engaged in trade and has suspended his practice. He applies to be enrolled as an advocate of this High Court. The Bar Council recommends that his application may be refused but he should be allowed to apply afresh when he disassociates himself from the business.

2. There can be no question that the High Court has power to refuse admission to any person at its discretion. This is so even if there are rules to the contrary, vide proviso to Section 9 of Act 38 of 1926. We think that weight should be attached to the recommendation of the Bar Council which represents the views of the legal profession. We accordingly refuse this application for the time being giving him liberty to revive his application after he has ceased to carry on trade.

3. There is no order as to costs.