

Sri Naveen V vs the Commissioner

Sri Naveen V vs the Commissioner

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1870482

Court : Karnataka Orders

Decided On : Jun-28-2024

Judge : H.T. Narendra Prasad

Appeal No. : WP/24837/2018

Appellant : Sri Naveen V

Respondent : The Commissioner

Judgement :

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 28TH DAY OF JUNE, 2024 BEFORE THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE H.T. NARENDRA PRASAD WRIT PETITION NO. 24837 OF 2018 (LB-BMP) BETWEEN: SRI NAVEEN V S/O SRI.VITTALA RAO N AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS R/AT NO.24, 2ND MAIN, 3RD CROSS OPP TO CORPORATION BANK SERVICE ROAD, CHAMARAJAPET PETITIONER (BY SRI. NAIK N R.,ADVOCATE[ABSENT]) AND:

1. THE COMMISSIONER BRUHATH BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE N.R.SQUARE,BENGALURU-560 002. Digitally signed by

KARNATAKA MYSORE ROAD, BENGALURU-560 026.

3. THE SECRETARY KARNATAKA STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD BENGALURU CITY-SOUTH 1ST FLOOR, NISARGA BHAVAN 7TH D MAIN, THIMMAIAH ROAD SHIVANAGAR, BENGALURU-560 079.

4. SRI.B.V.SRINIVASA KARANTH S/O SRI B.VENKKAPPAIAH -2- R/AT NO.26, 3RD CROSS 2ND MAIN ROAD, CHAMARAJAPET

5. SREE SIDDI VINAYAKA ENTERPRISES NO.232/1, 2ND MAIN ROAD CHAMARAJAPETE,BENGALURU-560 018 REP BY ITS PROPRIETOR SUHAS S KARANTH

6. M/S. OIL MIXING GO DOWN CHAMARAJAPETE, BENGALURU-560 019 REP BY ITS PROPRIETOR SRI MANI RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.RAVISHANKAR., ADVOCATE FOR R4: SRI N R JAGADEESHWARA, ADVOCATE FOR R1 &R2: SRI. JEEVAN S NERALAGI, ADVOCATE R3: NOTICE TO R5 & R6 ARE HELD SUFFICIENT V/O DATED:19.06.2024) THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE COSNTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE FIRST AND SECOND RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE ORDER PASSED BY THIRD RESPONDENT AGAINST RESPONDENT NO.4 TO 6 WHO ARE RUNNING CATERING AND MIXING OIL BUSINESS IN RESIDENTIAL AREA AT 2ND MAIN ROAD, 3RD CROSS, OPP. CORPORATION BANK, SERVICE ROAD, CHAMARAJAPETE, WARD NO.140, BENGALURU-560018. THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN B GROUP, THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING: -3-

ORDER

The learned counsel appearing for respondent No.4 filed a memo, stating that the respondent Nos. 4 and 6 have vacated the premises, in which they were carrying on the catering and oil business, hence, this writ petition does not survive for consideration.

2. The memo dated 28.06.2024 is taken on record.

3. This writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. Sd/- JUDGE CM
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 69

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com