

Ullas H vs the Chief General Manager

Ullas H vs the Chief General Manager

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1870438

Court : Karnataka Orders

Decided On : Jul-30-2024

Judge : Hemant Chandangoudar

Appeal No. : WP/4724/2024

Appellant : Ullas H

Respondent : The Chief General Manager

Judgement :

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 30TH DAY OF JULY, 2024 BEFORE THE HON'BLE
MR JUSTICE HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR WRIT PETITION NO. 4724
OF 2024 (GM-RES) BETWEEN:

1. ULLAS H S/O HANUMANTHAPPA AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS
MANAGER ASSET RECOVERY BRANCH BENGALURU - 560 002.
2. RAMPRASAD S/O RAMAIAH AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS
OCCUPATION: CASHIER DIAMOND DISTRICT BRANCH AUSTIN
TOWN, WEST ROAD BENGALURU - 560 047. PETITIONERS Digitally

signed by (BY SRI. HANUMANTHAPPA B. HARAVI GOUDAR, ADVOCATE) R HEMALATHA Location: HIGH COURT OF AND: KARNATAKA

1. THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER (HUMAN RESOURCES) CENTRAL OFFICE, MUMBAI VIDHANA BHAVAN MARG NARIMAN POINT MUMBAI - 400 001.

2. FIELD GENERAL MANAGER CHANDRAKIRAN BUILDING, 1ST FLOOR, KASTURBA ROAD BENGALURU - 560 001. -2-

3. HARISH M. TAYEDE 3RD FLOOR, 66/80, SERVICE BRANCH, M.S. MARG FORT, MUMBAI-400 001.

4. THE BRANCH MANAGER K.R. NAGAR BRANCH MYSORE - 571 602.

5. D. VIJAYA KUMAR AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS REGIONAL OFFICE MYSORE- 571 602.

6. KRISHNAMURTHY D PRESIDENT KARNATAKA WING KAIKAMAPADY BRANCH MANGALORE - 575 019. RESPONDENTS (BY SRI. T.P. MUTHANNA, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R2 & R4; SRI. M. MADHUSUDHAN, ADVOCATE FOR R5 & R6; R3 IS SERVED AND UNREPRESENTED) THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECTING THE R1 SHALL CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION DATED 30.9.2023 VIDE ANNEXURE-E WITH IMMEDIATE EFFECT AND ETC. THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER: CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR -3-

ORAL ORDER

The petitioners seek a direction to the respondent No.1 to consider the representation dated 30.9.2023 at Annexure-E to restrain the respondents No.5 and 6 from withdrawing the amount fraudulently in the account at the respondent No.4 - Bank stating that they have mis-appropriated the funds of the Association of which they are General Secretary and the President.

2. The respondent - Bank submits that in the event, the

bank account is opened in the name of the Association without KYC details, the respondent No.4 * is hereby directed to take appropriate steps to call upon the respondents No.5 and 6 to furnish KYC details. With the above observation, the petition stands disposed of. Sd/- (HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR) JUDGE BKM *
corrected vide court order dated 11.11.2024

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com