

Harshitha S vs Manjunath

Harshitha S vs Manjunath

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1857757

Court : Karnataka Orders

Decided On : Jul-26-2024

Judge : H.P.Sandesh

Appeal No. : RSA/118/2022

Appellant : Harshitha S

Respondent : Manjunath

Judgement :

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF JULY, 2024 BEFORE THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH REGULAR SECOND APPEAL NO.118 OF 2022 BETWEEN: SMT. HARSHITHA S @ HONNAMMA W/O K. MANJUNATH AGED ABOUT 22 YEARS R/AT HALASINAMARADADODDI VILLAGE BIDADI HOBLI RAMANAGARA TALUK AND DISTRICT-562109 APPELLANT (BY SRI B V KRISHNAPPA, ADVOCATE) AND:

Digitally signed MANJUNATH by DEVIKA M

S/O HONNAIAH Loc

COURT OF KARNATAKA R/AT KUMBAPURA COLONY KAILANCHA
HOBLI RAMANAGARA DISTRICT-562109 RESPONDENT THIS RSA IS
FILED UNDER SECTION 100 OF CPC AGAINST THE JUDGMENT
AND DECREE DATED 14.09.2021 PASSED IN RA.No.38/2020 ON THE
FILE OF THE III ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE
RAMANAGARA AND ETC. -2- THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR
ORDERS, THIS DAY,

JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH

ORAL JUDGMENT

This appeal is of the year 2022. This Court on 10.07.2024 taken note that the counsel for the appellant is absent and finally two weeks time was granted to comply with the office objections and also to submit with regard to the maintainability of the appeal and also made it clear that if the counsel for the appellant does not appear on the next date of hearing, the appeal will be dismissed for non-prosecution. In spite of this order, the counsel for the appellant is not pursuing the matter diligently. Accordingly, the second appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. Sd/- (H.P.SANDESH) JUDGE SN

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com