SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com

Indira Prabhakaran vs Ajith Kumar.a

Indira Prabhakaran vs Ajith Kumar.a

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1857409

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Aug-30-2019

Judge : Honourable Dr. Justice a.K.Jayasankaran Nambiar

Appeal No. : Con.Case(C)/852/2018

Appellant : Indira Prabhakaran

Respondent : Ajith Kumar.a

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR FRIDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF AUGUST 2019 / 8TH BHADRA, 1941 Con.Case(C).No.852 OF 2018(S) IN WP(C). 33702/2017 (ARISING FROM THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C).NO. 33702/2017 DATED 16-03-2018) CONTEMPT PETITIONERS/PETITIONERS 1 TO 3:

1 INDIRA PRABHAKARAN AGED 70 YEARS, W/O. PRABHAKARAN, PREETHA NIVAS, PURUMBUZHA P.O., KUNDARA, ELAMPALLOOR, KOLLAM DISTRICT. 2 MATHEW KURIEN AGED 60 YEARS, S/O. KURIAN, NEDIYAVILA PUTHENVEED, PERUMBUZHA P.O., KUNDARA, ELAMPALLOOR, KOLLAM DISTRICT. 3 LALIMOL P. AGED 44 YEARS, W/O. GHEEVARGESE, CHRISTY VILLA, PERUMBUZHA

P.O., ELAMPALLOOR, KUNDARA, KOLLAM DISTRICT. BY ADVS. SRI.BINU GEORGE SMT.HEMALATHA RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS 1,2 & 4: 1 AJITH KUMAR.A SECRETARY, LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT, ROOM NO. 404A, 4TH FLOOR ANNEX, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695 001. 2 STEEPHAN MOTHIS SECRETARY, ELAMPALLOOR GRAMA PANCHAYATH, ELAMPALLOOR, KUNDARA P.O., KOLLAM DISTRICT, PIN - 691 501. 3 JAYAKUMAR STATION HOUSE OFFICER, INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KUNDARA POLICE STATION, KUNDARA P.O., KOLLAM DISTRICT, PIN - 691 501. 4 G.SPARJAN KUMAR AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,MANAGING DIRECTOR,KERALA STATE BEVERAGE CORPORATION,BEVCO TOWER,VIKAS BHAVAN P.O,PALAYAM,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,PIN-695033

Con.Case(C).No.852 OF 2018(S) 2 ADDL R4 IS IMPLEADED VIDE ORDER DTD 19/7/19 IN IA 2/19 IN COC 852/18 R1-2 BY ADV. SRI.M.R.SASITH R1, R4 BY SRI.NAVEEN.T., SC, KERALA STATE BEV.CO. M. AND M. BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.SURIN GEORGE IPE THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30.08.2019, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING: Con.Case(C).No.852 OF 2018(S) 3

JUDGMENT

An additional affidavit has been filed by the additional 4 th respondent, wherein it is categorically stated that the Corporation has taken measures to see that the customers of the FL-I shop are not parking their vehicles in front of the houses of the petitioners. As against the said averment, there is nothing on record to suggest that the vehicles, which are stated to be parked in front of the houses of the petitioners are those belonging to the customers of the respondent Corporation. Under such circumstances, taking note of the averments in the affidavit filed on behalf of the additional 4th respondent this Contempt case is closed. Sd/- A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR JUDGE mns Con.Case(C).No.852 OF 2018(S) 4

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com