

R. Harikumar, vs Satheesh Kumar K.S.,

R. Harikumar, vs Satheesh Kumar K.S.,

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1853820

Court : Kerala Orders

Decided On : Jan-31-2019

Judge : Honourable the Chief Justice Mr.Hrishikesh Roy,Honourable Dr. Justice a.K.Jayasankaran Nambiar

Appeal No. : RP/68/2019

Appellant : R. Harikumar,

Respondent : Satheesh Kumar K.S.,

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.HRISHIKESH ROY & THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR THURSDAY,THE 31ST DAY OF JANUARY 2019 / 11TH MAGHA, 1940 RP.No. 68 of 2019 IN WP(C). 24551/2018 AGAINST THE ORDER IN WP(C) 24551/2018 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED 18.12.2018 REVIEW PETITIONER/4TH RESPONDENT:

R. HARIKUMAR, AGED 49 YEARS, S/O. RAMADAS PARATHIKADU, TRA 57, THITTAMANGALAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695013. BY ADVS. SRI. BECHU KURIAN THOMAS (SR.) SRI.ANOOP.V.NAIR

SRI.M.S.SANDEEP SUDHAKARAN RESPONDENTS/PETITIONER & RESPONDENTS1-3: 1 SATHEESH KUMAR K.S., AGED 46 YEARS S/O KRISHNANKUTTY, SATHI VIHAR, AVANAKUZHI, THANNIMOODU P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT-695123. 2 STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY, KERALA GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001. 3 THE SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF POWER KERALA GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695001. 4 AGENCY FOR NON CONVENTIONAL ENGERGY AND RURAL TECHNOLOGY (ANERT), ANERT HEAD QUARTERS, PMG LAW COLLEGE ROAD, VIKAS BHAVAN P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695033, REP. BY ITS DIRECTOR. R2&3 BY ADDITINAL ADVOCATE GENERAL SRI.KK.RAVINDRANATH R1 BY SRI. V. PHILIP MATHEW R4 BY SRI. T.R. HARIKUMAR THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 31.01.2019, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

Hrishikesh Roy, CJ Heard Sri. Bechu Kurian Thomas, the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Review Petitioner. Also heard Sri. V. Philip Mathew, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent/writ petitioner. The State authorities are represented by Sri. K.K Ravindranath, the learned Additional Advocate General.

2. Having regard to the contention raised before us by the learned Senior

Counsel, we deem it appropriate to clarify that the observation made by is in our order

dated 18.12.2018 in the W.P(C). No.24551 of 2018, towards the lack of qualification of the petitioner as per the eligibility norms specified in the Government Order dated 28.2.2014 (Ext.P1) was, in the context of the age criteria not having been met by the Review Petitioner and the court made no comments on the other eligibility norms, prescribed in the said Government Order (Ext.P1).

3. With the above clarification, the Review Petition stands closed. Hrishikesh Roy, Chief Justice A.K. Jayasankaran Nambiar, Judge sou.

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com