SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com

Vishwas Secutity Services vs the State of Karnataka

Vishwas Secutity Services vs the State of Karnataka

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1845255

Court : Karnataka Orders

Decided On : Jan-31-2024

Judge : Suraj Govindaraj

Appeal No. : WP/103193/2021

Appellant : Vishwas Secutity Services

Respondent : The State of Karnataka

Judgement :

-1- IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, DHARWAD BENCH DATED THIS THE 31ST DAY OF JANUARY, 2024 BEFORE THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SURAJ GOVINDARAJ WRIT PETITION NO. 103193 OF 2021 (GM-RES) BETWEEN: VISHWAS SECURITY SERVICES, REPRESENTED BY PRORIETOR, SRI. GOVIND NAIK AGE. 45 YEARS, OCC. SECURITY AGENCY R/O. MOOD BHATKAL, BHATKAL, UTTAR KANNADA-581320. PETITIONER (BY SRI. VENKATESH M. KHARVI, ADVOCATE) AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA, REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY, URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, M.S. BUILDING, BENGALURU-560001.

2. CHIEF OFFICER, TMC BHATKAL, UTTARA KANNADA-581320. RESPONDENTS NARAYANKAR THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 Digitally signed by NARAYANKAR Date: 2024.02.01 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF 15:58:08 +0530

CERTIORARI OR ANY OTHER WRIT QUASHING THE TENDER NOTIFICATION DATED 29.05.2021 IN BEARING NO.SWMOUTOURCE/ 2021-2022 DATED 29.05.2021 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.2 FOR SUPPLYING MAN POWER TO DOOR TO DOOR WASTE COLLECTION IN BHATKAL TOWN VIDE A PAPER PUBLICATION DATED PUBLISHED IN KANNADA NEWSPAPER MARKED AT ANNEXURE-G. THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING: -2-

ORDER

1. The petitioner is before this Court seeking the following reliefs:

1. To issue a Writ of Certiorari or any other Writ

quashing the tender notification dated 29.05.2021 in bearing No.SWMOUTOURCE/ 2021-2022 dated 29.05.2021 issued by the Respondent No.2 for supplying man power to door to door waste collection in Bhatkal town vide a paper publication dated published in Kannada newspaper marked at Annexure-G.

2. That the Honble Court pleased to pass any suitable order which deemed to fit in the circumstances of the case.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the above petition has been rendered infructuous. Accepting his submission, the petition stands dismissed for having been rendered infructuous.

3. In view of disposal of the petition, pending interlocutory applications, if any, do not survive for consideration and are disposed of accordingly. Sd/- JUDGE YAN,

List No.: 2 Sl No.: 9

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com