

Manokaran vs State Rep by

Manokaran vs State Rep by

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1791011

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Feb-20-2025

Judge : Honourable Mr Justice Sunder Mohan

Appeal No. : CRL OP/4470/2025

Appellant : Manokaran

Respondent : State Rep by

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.02.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN Manokaran ...
Petitioner Vs. The State represented by, Inspector of Police, Tiruppur
South Police Station, (Crime No.185 of 2025). ... Respondent PRAYER:
Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, pleased to
enlarge the petitioner on bail, in connection with the Crime No.185 of
2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent Police. For
Petitioner : M/s. P.Thinesh For Respondent : Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph
Selvam Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed by the petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.02.2025, seeking bail in Crime No.185 of 2025 registered for the offence under Section 123 of BNS (Section 328 of IPC) read with Section 22(1) of COTPA Act.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found to be in illegal possession of 3900 kgs of banned tobacco products. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is in custody from 09.02.2025 and since the contraband has been seized, the petitioner may be released on bail.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) reiterated the case of the prosecution and submitted that petitioner has 13 previous cases and in those case petitioner is on bail.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering, the fact that the contraband has been seized; period of

incarceration; petitioner is on bail in other cases; and since further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his

executing a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Tiruppur

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar

card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity; [b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders; [c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial; [d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial; [e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S. 20.02.2025 Sma To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.2,
2. Inspector of Police, Tiruppur South Police Station,
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Tiruppur.
4. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

SUNDER MOHAN., J.

Sma 20.02.2025

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com