

Anandaiah vs State of Karnataka

Anandaiah vs State of Karnataka

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1761764

Court : Karnataka Orders

Decided On : Jul-02-2025

Judge : E.S.Indires

Appeal No. : WP/23087/2023

Appellant : Anandaiah

Respondent : State of Karnataka

Judgement :

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 2ND DAY OF JULY, 2025 BEFORE THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH WRIT PETITION NO. 23087 OF 2023 (KLR-RES) BETWEEN: ANANDAIAH, S/O MUNISHAMAPPA, AGED 64 YEARS, R/AT NO.98, 1ST MAIN ROAD, 6TH CROSS, VINAYAKA NAGAR, KATTIGENAHALLI VILLAGE, JALA HOBOLI, YELAHANKA TALUK, BENGALURU-560063. PETITIONER (BY SRI.B.RAMESH., ADVOCATE) AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA

Digitally signed DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, by SHARMA ANAND CHAYA VIDHANA SOUDHA, Location: HIGH DR. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI, COURT OF KARNATAKA BENGALURU-560001, REP BY ITS SECRETARY.

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT, BEHIND KANDHAYA BHAVAN,

3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER -2- BENGALURU NORTH SUB-DIVISION, KANDHAYA BHAVAN, K.G.ROAD,

4. THE TAHSILDAR YELAHANKA, BENGALURU-560064.

5. THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS, DEPARTMENT OF LAND RECORDS, BENGALURU-560064 RESPONDENTS (BY SMT.SAVITHRAMMA, LEARNED AGA FOR RESPONDENTS) THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226

AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO A) DIRECT THE R4 AND R5 TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATIONS SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER ON 05/12/2022, 07/06/2023 AND 11/07/2023 WITHIN A REASONABLE TIME WHICH IS PRODUCED AND MARKED AS ANNEXURE-H, J AND K AND ETC., THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER: CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties. -3-

2. The grievance of the petitioner in this writ petition is to direct the respondent Nos.4 and 5 to consider the representation made by the petitioner herein produced at Annexure H, J and K.

3. Sri.B.Ramesh, learned counsel appearing for the

petitioner invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 29.01.2020 (Annexure-E), passed by the respondent No.2 and submitted that, the genuineness of the grant made in favor of the petitioner has been considered and the respondent No.2 has directed the Tahasildar Yelahanka Taluk, to mutate the name of the petitioner in the revenue records.

4. In that view of the matter the respondent Nos.4

and 5 are directed to consider the representation made by the petitioner herein in the light of the order passed by the Special Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore North Sub-Division, dated 29.01.2020 in case No.RRT (YLK)CR-8/2019-2020 (Annexure- E), within an outer limit of three months from the date of receipt of order. -4-

5. With these observations, the writ petition stands disposed of. SD/- (E.S.INDIRESH) JUDGE SRK List No.: 1 Sl No.: 73

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com