

Mr Bharath Singh P vs Mr Vasanth Kumar

Mr Bharath Singh P vs Mr Vasanth Kumar

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1757443

Court : Karnataka

Decided On : Dec-16-2025

Judge : G Basavaraja

Appeal No. : CRL.A/1859/2023

Appellant : Mr Bharath Singh P

Respondent : Mr Vasanth Kumar

Judgement :

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 16TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2025 BEFORE THE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G BASAVARAJA CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.
1859 OF 2023 (A-) BETWEEN:

1. MR BHARATH SINGH P

S/O LATE PRATAP SINGH B, AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, RESIDING AT
NO 775, 2nd CROSS, 7TH MAIN, 4TH STAGE, BEML LAYOUT,
RAJARAJESWARI NAGARARA, BENGALURU 560 072. APPELLANT
(BY SRI. ANANTHARAM S N.,ADVOCATE) AND:

1. MR VASANTH KUMAR AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS, NO 88/2, 4TH G CROSS, MAGADI MAIN ROAD, VRUSHABAVATHI NAGARA, BENGALURU 560 079. RESPONDENT

Digitally signed by LAKSHMINARAYAN N CRL.A. FILED U/S.378(4) CR.P.C BY THE ADVOCATE FOR Location: High Court THE APPELLANT/S PRAYING THAT THIS HONBLE COURT MAY of Karnataka BE PLEASED TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 27.06.2023 PASSED BY THE LEARNED XV ADDITIONAL CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE AT BENGLAURU IN C.C.NO.11135/2022 AND RESTORE THE ABOVE CASE AND PERMIT THE APPELLANT TO PROCEED WITH THE AFORESAID CASE IN THE COURT BELOW. THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,

JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G BASAVARAJA -2-

ORAL JUDGMENT

1. This appeal is filed by the appellant/

complainant being aggrieved by the dismissal of complaint vide order dated 27.06.2023 passed in C.C No.11135/2022 by the C/c of XV Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Bengaluru (for short "the trial Court").

2. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of CELESTIUM FINANCIAL v. A GNANASEKARAN ETC. reported in 2025 SCC ONLINE SC 1320, at paragraph 10 of the judgment, has observed as under:

"10. As already noted, the proviso to Section 372 of Cr.P.C. was inserted in the statute book only with effect from 31.12.2009. The object and reason for such insertion must be realised and must be given its full effect to by a court. In view of the aforesaid discussion, we hold that the

victim of an offence has the right to prefer an appeal under the proviso to Section 372 of Cr. P.C., irrespective of whether he is a complainant or not. Even if the victim of an offence is a complainant, he can still proceed under the -3-

proviso to Section 372 and need not advert to sub-section (4) of Section 378 of Cr. P.C."

3. In the light of the Hon'ble Supreme Court's

recent clarification of the legal position, it is now evident that the appellant, being the complainant under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, is also entitled to file an appeal before the Sessions Court. If this Court were to proceed to hear and decide the appeal at this stage, it could deprive the parties of an available forum, i.e. this Court, for further challenge.

4. Considering the above, it is deemed fit that the present appeal be transferred to the concerned appellate Court of Sessions. Accordingly, I pass the following:

ORDER

i. Registry is directed to transfer the entire record of the case to the concerned Principal District & Sessions Judge, who may assign it to the concerned Appellate Court having the jurisdiction and for which -4- purpose, it would be listed before the Principal District & Sessions Judge; ii. The concerned transferee court is directed to issue Court notice to both the parties to appear before the concerned Court, and the concerned Court, thereafter, shall proceed with the case in accordance with law; iii. In case there are applications pending for condonation of delay or any other pending applications, the same also be transferred to be considered by the learned Judge of transferee Court, in accordance with law; iv. Considering the matter has been pending for considerable time, the Appellate Court is requested to make an endeavour to dispose of the matter as expeditiously as possible; v. The appellant is permitted to carry out necessary amendment in the cause-title and also the provisions thereof; vi. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations as to the merits of the case and all rights and

contentions of -5- the parties are left open to be agitated before the Court concerned.

5. In the light of the above observation and directions, appeal stands disposed of.
Sd/- (G BASAVARAJA) JUDGE KBM List No.: 2 SI No.: 83

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com