

Sri Harish Kumar vs State of Karnataka

Sri Harish Kumar vs State of Karnataka

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1732142

Court : Karnataka Orders

Decided On : Jul-30-2025

Judge : J.M.Khazi

Appeal No. : CRL.P/8963/2022

Appellant : Sri Harish Kumar

Respondent : State of Karnataka

Judgement :

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 30TH DAY OF JULY, 2025 BEFORE THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE J.M.KHAZI CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 8963 OF 2022 (482(Cr.PC) / 528(BNSS)) BETWEEN: SRI HARISH KUMAR S/O SHANTHI LAL D JAIN AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS, R/AT NO.19, 24TH CROSS, CUBBON PET, BANGALORE-560001 PETITIONER (BY SMT. SHILPA RANI C, ADVOCATE-ABSENT) AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA

Digitally SAMPANGI RAMANAGAR POLICE signed by BANGALORE-560002 REKHA R REPRESENTED BY SPP Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA High Court of BANGALORE - 560001 Karnataka

2. SRI GURUPRASAD V M AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS, POLICE INSPECTOR OF CCB F AND MN.T PETITIONER, BANGALORE-560002 RESPONDENTS (BY SRI.B.LAKSHMAN, HCGP FOR R1) -2- THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S.482 CR.P.C BY THE ADVOCATE

FOR THE PETITIONER PRAYING TO I. QUASH THE FURTHER PROCEEDINGS IN C.C.NO.575/2020 PENDING ON THE FILE OF IX ADDL.C.M.M., BENGALURU. ii. QUASH THE COMPLAINT DATED 24.08.2019 VIDE ANNEXURE-B AND CONSEQUENTLY THE FIR REGISTERED IN CR.NO.87/2020 BY THE RESPONDENT POLICE VIDE ANNEXURE-A AND ALSO THE CHARGE SHEET FILED IN C.C.NO.575/2020 PENDING ON THE FILE OF IX ADDL.C.M.M., BENGALURU VIDE ANNEXURE-C FOR THE OFFENCE P/U/S 51(1)(B) AND 63 OF COPY RIGHT ACT 1957.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,

ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE J.M.KHAZI

ORAL ORDER

No representation for petitioner.

2. No representation for petitioner even in the afternoon session.

3. This matter is of the year 2022.

4. Vide order dated 18.07.2025, as a last chance, a week's time was granted to comply with the office objections, failing which necessary orders would be passed for dismissal of the petition. -3-

5. Despite the same, office objections are not complied with. It appears petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the petition.

6. Hence, petition is dismissed for default. Sd/- (J.M.KHAZI) JUDGE RR List No.: 1
SI No.: 8

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com