

Kallara Mohanadas vs the Chief Post Master

Kallara Mohanadas vs the Chief Post Master

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1698772

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Oct-07-2021

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice P.V.Kunhikrishnan

Appeal No. : WP(C)/20553/2010

Appellant : Kallara Mohanadas

Respondent : The Chief Post Master

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.V.KUNHIKRISHNAN
THURSDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2021 / 15TH ASWINA, 1943
WP(C) NO. 20553 OF 2010 PETITIONER: KALLARA MOHANADAS, 49
YEARS, S/O.P.KRISHNAKURUP, MAAS, L-37,, CHANGAMPUZHA
NAGAR P.O.,, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT. BY ADVS.
SRI.T.A.UNNIKRISHNAN SRI.P.P.BIJU SRI.K.S.PRAVEEN
SRI.K.SATHEESH KUMAR RESPONDENTS: 1 THE CHIEF POST
MASTER GENERAL, KERALA CIRCLE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-01.
2 POST MASTER GENERAL CENTRAL REGION, KOCHI-16. 3 THE
SENIOR SUPERINTENDENT OF POST OFFICES, ERNAKULAM-11. 4
THE POST MASTER KALAMASSERY POST OFFICE, ERNAKULAM

DISTRICT,, PIN-683104. OTHER PRESENT: SRI.GIRISH KUMAR,
CGC THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 07.10.2021, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY
DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

P.V.KUNHIKRISHNAN, J.

----- W.P.(C).No.20553 of 2010
----- Dated this the 07th day of October,
2021

JUDGMENT

This writ petition is filed with following prayers: i. Declare that cancellation of P.B.No.22 of Kalamassery Post Office allotted in favour of the petitioner is illegal.
ii. Issue a writ of mandamus or such other writ,

order or direction, directing the respondent to

reinstate the post Box allotted to the petitioner (P.B.No:22 of Kalamassery Post Office) iii. Issue such other order or direction as this Honourable Court may deem fit and proper in the

facts and circumstances of the case.

2. Grievance of the petitioner is that, P.B.No.22 of

Kalamassery Post Office, which was allotted in favour of the petitioner, was cancelled without giving any notice to the him. This writ petition is pending before this Court from 2010 onwards. There is no interim order passed in this writ petition. Probably the petitioner may not be interested at this distance of time for getting P.B. Number. If there is any surviving grievance to the petitioner, the petitioner can be directed to approach the respondents with a representation and if such a representation is received, the authority concerned can be directed to dispose the same in accordance to law. Therefore, this writ petition is disposed of with liberty

to the petitioner to approach the respondents with a representation if there is any surviving grievance and if such a representation is received, the authority concerned will do the needful in accordance to law. Sd/- P.V.KUNHIKRISHNAN
JV JUDGE APPENDIX OF WP(C) 20553/2010 PETITIONER EXHIBITS Exhibit P1
COPY OF HTE COMPLAINT DT.20.05.2009 SUBMITTED TO THE SUPDT. OF
POST OFFICES ERNAKULAM Exhibit P2 COPY OF HTE REPLY DT.18.2.2010
Exhibit P3 COPY OF HTE REPLY NO.EKM CCC/RIA/180/2010 DATED 10.2.2010
RESPONENTS' EXHIBITS Exhibit R3(A) COPY OF THE APPLICATION FORM
DATED 19.7.2005 Exhibit R3(B) COPY OF THE LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE
PETITIONER DATED 22.10.2009 Exhibit R3(C) COPY OF THE POST COVER
DATED 29.10.2010

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com