

Narfid @ Ambu, vs State of Kerala,

Narfid @ Ambu, vs State of Kerala,

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1678990

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Oct-01-2021

Judge : Honourable Mrs. Justice M.R.Anitha

Appeal No. : Bail Appl./7445/2021

Appellant : Narfid @ Ambu,

Respondent : State of Kerala,

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE M.R.ANITHA FRIDAY,
THE 1ST DAY OF OCTOBER 2021 / 9TH ASWINA, 1943 BAIL APPL.
NO. 7445 OF 2021 CRIME NO.1704/2021 OF KUNNAMKULAM POLICE
STATION, THRISSUR DISTRICT AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT
IN CRMC 1396/2021 OF SESSIONS COURT,THRISSUR

PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.3: NARFID @ AMBU, AGED 24 YEARS
S/O.LATHEEF, PATTUKULANGARA HOUSE, PORKULAM VILLAGE,
DESOM, KUNNAMKULAM TALUK, THRISSUR DISRICT-680 503. BY
ADV SAM ISAAC POTHYIL RESPONDENTS/COMPLAINANT: 1
STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA, KOCHI-682 031. 2

STATION HOUSE OFFICER, KUNNAMKULAM POLICE STATION, KUNNAMKULAM, THRISSUR DISTRICT, PIN-680 503. OTHER PRESENT: PP.C.N PRABHAKARAN.SR GP THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01.10.2021, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

Petitioner is the 3rd accused in crime No.1704/2021 of Kunnamkulam Police Station, Thrissur, which is registered for the offences punishable under Sections 143, 147, 148, 452, 354, 427 r/w. 149 IPC.

2. It is alleged that on 03.09.2021 at about 4 p.m accused

Nos.1 to 5 formed themselves into an unlawful assembly and in prosecution of the common object of the assembly committed rioting armed with deadly weapons and trespassed into the house No.XVI/43-A of Kadavallur Grama Panchayath of the defacto complainant and pushed her shoulder and destroyed the glass of the car bearing reg.No.KL-48-D-2295 which was parked in the courtyard of the house of the defacto complainant, thereby the accused committed the offences aforementioned.

3. The petitioner was arrested on 04.09.2021. According

to the learned counsel for the petitioner, all other accused were granted bail by the Sessions Court, Thrissur and the petitioner was denied bail because of the reason that he is involved in another crime.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor also reported that the

petitioner is involved in crime No.558/2021 of Kunnamkulam Police Station, which is registered u/s.341, 323, 269, 270, 283, 34 IPC and 120 (c) K.P. Act. All those offences are seems to be bailable.

5. Hence, taking into account the fact that he has been

under confinement from 04.09.2021 onwards and the nature of the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner, I find just and proper to grant bail to the petitioner on the following conditions:

(i) Petitioner shall execute bond for a sum of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees thirty thousand only) with two solvent sureties for the like sum each to the satisfaction of the jurisdictional court.

(ii) He shall report before the investigating officer as and when required.

(iii) He shall not try to contact or influence the witnesses or tamper the with evidence.

(iv) He shall not involve in any crime during the

period on bail. If any of the above conditions are violated by the petitioner, the jurisdictional court will be at liberty to cancel the bail in accordance with law. This Bail Application is allowed as above. Sd/- M.R.ANITHA shg JUDGE

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com