

Abid vs the Special Thahsildar (Lr), Land Tribunal - Tirur

Abid vs the Special Thahsildar (Lr), Land Tribunal - Tirur

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1674942

Court : Kerala

Decided On : Sep-15-2021

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice Devan Ramachandran

Appeal No. : WP(C)/19008/2021

Appellant : ABID

Respondent : The Special Thahsildar (Lr), Land Tribunal - Tirur

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN WEDNESDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2021 / 24TH BHADRA, 1943 PETITIONER: ABID, AGED 35 YEARS, S/O SAITHALAVI, MEENTHRATHAKATH HOUSE, PARAVANNA, VETOM, TIRUR, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT. PIN -676 502. BY ADV P.T.SHEEJISH RESPONDENTS: 1 THE SPECIAL THAHSILDAR (LR), LAND TRIBUNAL - TIRUR, TIRUR PO, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, KERALA -676 101. 2 THE VILLAGE OFFICER, VETOM VILLAGE, VETOM, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, KERALA, PIN -676 105. BY ADV.SRI.E.C.BINEESH, GP THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 15.09.2021, THE COURT ON THE

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has approached this Court asserting that a suo motu proceedings, bearing S.M.No.1195 of 2021, has been initiated against him by the 1st respondent - Special Tahsildar (Land Reforms); and seeks that the same be directed to be disposed of within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

2. In response, the learned Government

Pleader, Sri.E.C.Bineesh submitted that the afore mentioned suo motu proceedings was initiated against the petitioner recently and therefore, that this writ petition is premature.

3. Even though I find the afore submissions of

the learned Government Pleader to be valid, I am also aware that, as a rule, this Court directs the competent Authority to dispose of such proceedings within a period of eighteen months. I, therefore, do not see why the petitioner should not be given -3- the said benefit. Resultantly, this writ petition is ordered,

directing the 1st respondent to complet

petitioner - as also any other interested person - as expeditiously as is possible, but not later than eighteen months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment. Sd/- DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN JUDGE akv -4- APPENDIX PETITIONER'S/S EXHIBITS: EXHIBIT P1 THE TRUE COPY OF THE LAND TAX RECEIPT OF THE LAND IN SURVEY NO.171/15-8 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT 12.04.2021. EXHIBIT P2 THE TRUE COPY OF SUO MOTO REPORT OF SM NO.1195/2021. RESPONDENT'S/S EXHIBITS : NIL. //TRUE COPY// P.A. TO JUDGE