

S.Senthil Kumar Vs The Commissioner

S.Senthil Kumar Vs The Commissioner

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1667136

Court : Chennai Orders

Decided On : Jun-01-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr Justice G.R.Swaminathan,Honourable Ms.Justice R. Poornima

Appeal No. : WP(MD)/26049/2019

Appellant : S.Senthil Kumar

Respondent : The Commissioner

Judgement :

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT DATED :
01.06.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN AND THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R.POORNIMA and W.M.P(MD)Nos.22537 & 22538 of 2019 S.Senthil Kumar ... Petitioner Vs. Madurai - 625 002. ... Respondents Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the entire records pertaining to the impugned order of the second respondent made in MAVA2/MASA1/010504/19, dated 26.08.2019 and quash the same as illegal and consequently to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to permit the

petitioner to run his Tea Stall situated at No.279, 80 feet Road, near Shenbaga Thottam, Anna Nagar, Madurai. For Petitioner : Mr.I.Mohamed Razvi For Respondents : Mr.K.K.Kannan for R.1 Mr.J.Gunaseelan Muthiah for R.2

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by G.R.Swaminathan J.) Heard both sides. 2.Vide notice dated 26.08.2019, the second respondent called upon the petitioner to vacate the petition mentioned premises. The petitioner is running a shop on the roadside margin. The Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court had given a direction to the Corporation that on such roadside margin, shops should not be allowed to run. The petitioner is also not having any license in his favor. We do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned notice. 3.This Writ Petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed. [G.R.S., J.] [R.P, J.] NCC : Yes / No Index : Yes / No Internet : Yes/ No MGA To

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.

AND

R.POORNIMA, J.

MGA

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com