

Ravi Vs State Of Tamilnadu Rep By Inspector Of Police, Virudhunagar Bazaar

Ravi Vs State Of Tamilnadu Rep By Inspector Of Police, Virudhunagar Bazaar

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1663709

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Jul-03-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice K.Rajasekar

Appeal No. : CRL OP(MD)/13277/2026

Appellant : Ravi

Respondent : State Of Tamilnadu Rep By Inspector Of Police, Virudhunagar Bazaar

Judgement :

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT (Criminal Jurisdiction) Date : 03/07/2026 PRESENT The HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR Ravi, S/o.Theeran, No.1/4884,Meenatchi Nagar, Rosalpatti, Virudhunagar District. ... Petitioner/Accused Vs State of Tamilnadu Rep by, The Inspector of Police, Virudhunagar Bazaar Police Station, Virudhunagar District, Crime No.112/2026. ... Respondent/Complainant For Petitioner : Mr.M.Jegadeesh Pandian, Advocate. For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian, Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS PRAYER :- C-33B.For Bail in Crime No.112/2026 on the file of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 11.06.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 324(5) of BNS, 2023 and Section 3 of TNPPDL Act, in Crime No.112 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is

working as a coolie at fire and rescue service office. The defacto complainant had obtained a property in S.No.312/1A and 312/3A to an extent of 67 cents through settlement deed from his mother and had entered into a sale agreement with one Paramshivam for the sale consideration of Rs.2,80,00,000/- and sold his property. While so, on 07.06.2026, to fence a entire property, several stones were placed at the property thereafter, on 08.06.2026, at about 09.15 a.m., the stones were damaged by the accused. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected in the above said incident, he is ready to cooperate with investigation and the co-accused was already granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.11843 of 2026, dated 22.06.2026. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 11.06.2026. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side) appearing for the

respondent would submit that the investigation is still pending and the offences are grave in nature. He would further submit that the petitioner has five previous cases. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel

on either side and the nature of offences levelled against the petitioner and considering the fact that the co-accused was already granted anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.O.P.(MD).No.11843 of 2026, dated 22.06.2026 and the investigation is pending and though the petitioner has some previous cases, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs. 30,000/-(Rupees Thirty Thousand only) to the credit of Crime No.112 of 2026 within a period of 15 days before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Virudhunagar. After receipt of entire amount, the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Virudhunagar, shall deposit the said amount in an interest bearing Fixed Deposit in any nationalized Bank initially for a period of one year and renew them periodically until the final order/Judgment is passed in the case in Crime No.112 of 2026. The learned Judicial Magistrate or Trial Court shall pass orders regarding entitlement of the said amount in its final

order/Judgment. [b]On such deposit being made, this petition is ordered and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Virudhunagar, and on further conditions that: [c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation. [d] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which she is suspected; [e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial; [f] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such

facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the

evidence; [g] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]. [h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(K R S J) 03.07.2026 VSG TO 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Virudhunagar.

2. The Superintendent, District Jail, Virudhunagr. 3.The Inspector of Police, Virudhunagar Bazaar Police Station, Virudhunagar District,

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai. K. RAJASEKAR,J., vsg

ORDER

IN CRL OP(MD) No.13277 of 2026 Date : 03/07/2026

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com