

Chandrika vs Krishnan

Chandrika vs Krishnan

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1663630

Court : Kerala Orders

Decided On : Feb-23-2021

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice N.Anil Kumar

Appeal No. : RSA/546/2017

Appellant : Chandrika

Respondent : Krishnan

Judgement :

RSA.No.546 OF 2017 AS 334/2011 OF I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT COURT, PALAKKAD W/O. ARUMUGHAN, SREENIKETHAM VEETIL, CHANDRANAGAR POST - 678 007, KUNNANNUR AMSOM, PIRIVUSALA, PALAKKAD TALUK AND DISTRICT. 1 KRISHNAN S/O. NAGAN, AGED 58 YEARS, KOTTAPPADIKKAL VEETIL, MANKARA AMSOM AND DESOM MANKARA POST - 678 613 PALAKKAD TALUK AND DISTRICT. 2 SREEDHARAN S/O. KRISHNAN, AGED 39 YEARS, KOTTAPPADIKKAL VEETIL, MANKARA AMSOM AND DESOM MANKARA POST - 678 613 PALAKKAD TALUK AND DISTRICT. R1-2 BY ADV. SRI.RAJESH SIVARAMANKUTTY

OTHER PRESENT: SRI.RAJESH SIVARAMANKUTTY 23.02.2021, ALONG WITH RSA.569/2017, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY ..2.. RSA.No.569 OF 2017 AS

335/2011 OF I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT COURT, PALAKKAD
W/O.ARUMUGHAN, SREENIKETHAM VEETIL, CHANDRANAGAR POST 678
007, KUNNANNUR AMSOM, PIRIVUSALA, 1 SREEDHARAN S/O.KRISHNAN,
AGED 39 YEARS, KOTTAPPADIKKAL VEETIL, MANKARA AMSOM AND
DESOM, MANKARA POST 678 613 2 KRISHNAN S/O.NAGAN, AGED 58
YEARS, KOTTAPPADIKKAL VEETIL, MANKARA AMSOM AND DESOM,
MANKARA POST 678 613 R1 BY ADV. SRI.RAJESH SIVARAMANKUTTY R1-2
BY ADV. SRI.R.HARIKRISHNAN R1 BY ADV. SRI.E.RAMACHANDRAN
23.02.2021, ALONG WITH RSA.546/2017, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY ..3..

JUDGMENT

The learned counsel for the appellant filed a memo dated 10.02.2021 in both the appeals, stating that the appellant does not want to prosecute the appeal further and therefore, the appeal may be dismissed as withdrawn. Recording the memo, the appeals are dismissed as withdrawn. There would be no order as to costs. Pending applications, if any, stand closed. Sd/- N.ANIL KUMAR JUDGE
Bka/23.02.2021

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com