

Sri Hariharan Vs The State of Tamilnadu

Sri Hariharan Vs The State of Tamilnadu

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1663195

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Jul-03-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice K.Rajasekar

Appeal No. : CRL OP(MD)/13222/2026

Appellant : Sri Hariharan

Respondent : The State of Tamilnadu

Judgement :

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction) Date : 03.07.2026 PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR CRL OP(MD). No. 13222 of 2026 Sri Hariharan ...Petitioner Vs State of Tamil Nadu rep. by The Inspector of Police, Navalpattu Police Station, Trichy District. (Crime No.129 of 2026) ...Respondent/Complainant For Petitioner : Mr.M.Vivek Advocate For Respondent : Mr.T.Lenin Kumar Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side) PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS PRAYER :- For Bail in Cr.No. 129 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 10.04.2026, for the offences punishable under Section 103 of BNS altered into Sections 103, 126(2), 191(2), 191(3) of IPC, in Crime No.129 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner herein while

consuming liquor out side the bar run by the deceased, there was quarrel erupted. He joined hands with other accused involved in attacking the deceased. Even the deceased was running away from the bar to save himself, the accused chased him and attacked indiscriminately and commit murder. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the

petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submitted that major part of the investigation has been almost completed. The petitioner has no previous case. The petitioner is in custody from 10.04.2026 and ready to abide any condition imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the State reiterated the prosecution case and reported that the petitioner has no previous case. Investigation has been completed and final report has also been filed. He opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the

nature of offence, overt act attributed against the petitioner, now investigation has been completed and final report has also been filed before the concerned Court, the petitioner has no previous case and also considering the period of incarceration, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to certain conditions. [a] Accordingly, the petitioner is

ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Principal District and sessions Judge, Trichy, and on further conditions that: [b] the petitioner shall stay at Vellore and report before the Inspector of Police, Vellore police Station, Vellore, daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders; [c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the

offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected; [d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial; [e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any

inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts

of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence; [f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 51730]. [g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS. (K R S J) 03.07.2026 TM To 1.The Principal District and sessions Judge, Trichy.

2.The Inspector of Police, Navalpattu Police Station, Trichy District. (Crime No.129 of 2026) 3.The Inspector of Police, Vellore Police Station, Vellore. 4.The Superintendent, Central Jail, Trichy. 5.The Additional Public Prosecutor, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

TM

ORDER

IN CRL OP(MD) No. 13222 of 2026 Date : 03.07.2026

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com