

Tamilselvan Vs The State of Tamilnadu Rep. by

Tamilselvan Vs The State of Tamilnadu Rep. by

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1662837

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Jul-01-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice K.Rajasekar

Appeal No. : CRL OP(MD)/12999/2026

Appellant : Tamilselvan

Respondent : The State of Tamilnadu Rep. by

Judgement :

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction) Date : 01.07.2026 PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR CRL OP(MD). No. 12999 of 2026 1.Tamilselvan 2.Karthick ...Petitioners Vs State of Tamil Nadu rep. by The Inspector of Police, Virudhunagar Bazaar Police Station, Virudhunagar District. (Crime No.119 of 2026) ...Respondent/Complainant For Petitioners : Mr.R.Jagadeeshwaran Advocate For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side) PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS PRAYER :- For Bail in Cr.No. 119 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners / Accused, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.06.2026, for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w. 20(b)(ii)(A) of NDPS Act and Section 77 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, in Crime No.119 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found in possession of 230 grams of ganja near the Periya Pallivasal Odai, Virudhunagar. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that

the petitioners are innocent and they were falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit the petitioners are in custody from 20.06.2026 and ready to abide any condition imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the State reiterated the prosecution

case and reported that the first petitioner has one previous case and the second petitioner has 9 previous cases, not similar in nature. Investigation is still pending. Hence, he prays to dismiss this application.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on records.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the quantity

involved in this case is 230 grams, which is not falls within the definition of commercial quantity and considering the period of incarceration, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioners subject to certain conditions. [a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Virudhunagar, and on further conditions that: [b] the petitioners shall report before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Virudhunagar, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of

three weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation; [c] the petitioners shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected; [d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial; [e] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any

inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts

of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence; [f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 51730]. [g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS. (K R S J) 01.07.2026 TM To 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Virudhunagar. 2.The Inspector of Police, Virudhunagar Bazaar Police Station, Virudhunagar District. (Crime No.119 of 2026) 3.The Superintendent, District Jail, Virudhunagar. 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

TM

ORDER

IN CRL OP(MD) No. 12999 of 2026 Date : 01.07.2026

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com