

Pramilamma vs the Executive Engineer

Pramilamma vs the Executive Engineer

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1659702

Court : Karnataka Orders

Decided On : Aug-30-2025

Judge : Ravi V Hosmani

Appeal No. : WP/3541/2025

Appellant : Pramilamma

Respondent : The Executive Engineer

Judgement :

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 30TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2025 BEFORE THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI V HOSMANI WRIT PETITION NO. 3541 OF 2025 (GM-KEB) BETWEEN: PRAMILAMMA, W/O CHANDRAPPA, AGE 66 YEARS, AGRICULTURIST, R/O KANTTAPURA VILLAGE, JANAKAL, CHITRADURGA - 577 527. PETITIONER (BY SRI R SHASHIDHARA, ADVOCATE) AND: THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER KARNATAKA POWER TRANSMISSION CORPORATION LTD., MAJOR WORKS DEPARTMENT, 3RD CROSS, JCR EXTENSION, CHITRADURGA-577 501. RESPONDENT Digitally signed by GEETHAKUMARI (BY SMT. UTTUR PADMAVATI SURESH,

ADVOCATE) PARLATTAYA S THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES
226 & 227 OF THE Location: High

Court of CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASHING THE ORDER

Karnataka PASSED BY SPECIAL 2ND ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT CHITRADURGA IN CIVIL MISC. NO.380/2019 DATED 26.08.2020 VIDE ANNEXURE-D. THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN B-GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER: CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI V HOSMANI -2-

ORAL ORDER

Challenging order dated 26.08.2020 passed by II Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Chitradurga, in C.Misc.no.380/2019, this petition is filed.

2. Smt.Padmavati S Uttur, learned counsel for

respondent at outset, submitted, writ petition is filed after period of 05 years and suffered from delay and laches, without any explanation in petition. Therefore, seeks dismissal of writ petition.

3. Sri R Shashidhara, learned counsel for petitioner seeks time to amend writ petition for including explanation for delay and laches.

4. Heard learned counsel and perused writ petition record.

5. Perusal of order impugned would reveal that learned

District Judge allowed petitioner's application for determination of compensation towards damages and awarded Rs.6,47,610/-. Said order was passed on 26.08.2020. However, writ petition was filed on 04.02.2025 without any semblance of explanation for approaching this Court belatedly. Though, request for -3- adjournment is made to file application for amendment to include explanation present writ petition having been filed and pending, and petitioner being fully

aware of order, it is too late for petitioner to seek permission to amend writ petition. Therefore, writ petition deserves to be dismissed on ground of delay and laches alone. Ordered accordingly. Sd/- (RAVI V HOSMANI) JUDGE Psg* List No.: 1 Sl No.: 35

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com