

Muthulakshmi Vs The Superintendent of Prison,

Muthulakshmi Vs The Superintendent of Prison,

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1659484

Court : Chennai Orders

Decided On : Jun-23-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr Justice N. Anand Venkatesh, Honourable Mr Justice K.K. Ramakrishnan

Appeal No. : WP CrI.(MD)/3361/2026

Appellant : Muthulakshmi

Respondent : The Superintendent of Prison,

Judgement :

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT DATED :
23.06.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH AND THE
HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN W.P.CrI.(MD)
No.3361 of 2026 Muthulakshmi Petitioner Vs. 1.The Superintendent
of Prison, Central Prison, Trichy-620 020.

2.The Inspector of Police, Annavasal Police Station, Pudukkottai District.
..... Respondents Prayer : Petition filed under Article 226 of the

Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned order of the 1st respondent in No.161938/Tha.Ku. consequently grant 6 days emergency leave without police escort to Ramesh, S/o.Ganesan (PID No.161938) now confined at Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli, forthwith considering the representation dated 13.05.2026.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Karthikeyan For Respondents : Mr.T.Lenin Kumar
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.] This Writ Petition has been filed challenging the impugned proceedings of the first respondent made in No.161938/Tha.Ku.4/2026, dated 18.06.2026, wherein the petitioner is aggrieved by the condition imposed by the first respondent while granting 6 days emergency leave to the effect that the convict will be accompanied by an escort during the entire leave period.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) appearing on behalf of the respondents.

3. The petitioner's husband is a life convict, who is presently

serving sentence at Central Prison, Trichy. A request was made by the petitioner seeking for emergency leave to the respondents and the same was considered by the first respondent and 6 days emergency leave was granted with a condition that convict will be accompanied by an escort. This condition has been put to challenge in the present Writ Petition.

4. The learned Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side) submitted that the convict has undergone sentence of 4 years and 2 months and till now has not availed either emergency leave or ordinary leave.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the

case and considering the reason, for which the emergency leave has been sought for, we are inclined to modify the condition imposed by the first respondent to the effect that the convict shall report before the 2nd respondent daily at 5.00 PM during the entire emergency leave period. The other conditions imposed by the first respondent shall stand as they are and the sureties shall be executed before the jail authorities.

6. This Writ Petition (Criminal) is allowed with the above

directions. No costs. [N.A.V., J.] & [K.K.R.K., J.] 23.06.2026 NCC : Yes / No Index : Yes / No Indu To 1.The Superintendent of Prison, Central Prison, Trichy-620 020. 2.The Inspector of Police, Annavasal Police Station, Pudukkottai District. 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.

AND

K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

Indu W.P.Crl.(MD).No.3361 of 2026 23.06.2026

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com