

**The Principal, vs the State of Kerala,**

**The Principal, vs the State of Kerala,**

**SooperKanoon Citation :** [sooperkanoon.com/1655902](https://sooperkanoon.com/1655902)

**Court :** Kerala Orders

**Decided On :** Jul-29-2021

**Judge :** Honourable Mr. Justice Raja Vijayaraghavan V

**Appeal No. :** WP(C)/14736/2021

**Appellant :** The Principal,

**Respondent :** The State of Kerala,

**Judgement :**

WP(C) NOS. 14730 & 14736 OF 2021 1 WP(C) NO. 14730 OF 2021 UKF COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY, (REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY) PARIPPALLY, KOLLAM DISTRICT-691 302. BY ADVS. KURIAN GEORGE KANNANTHANAM (SR.) TONY GEORGE KANNANTHANAM THOMAS GEORGE 1 STATE OF KERALA, REP BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, HIGHER EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, GOVT. SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.

REP BY THE DIRECTOR OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION, OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR, TECHNICAL EDUCATION, NELSON MANDELA MARG, VASANT KUNJ, NEW DELHI-110 070. WP(C) NOS. 14730 & 14736 OF 2021 2 5 APJ ABDUL KALAM TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR, CET CAMPUS, TRIVANDRUM- 29.07.2021 ALONG WITH W.P.(C)NO.14736 OF 2021, THE COURT ON THE WP(C) NOS. 14730 & 14736

OF 2021 3 WP(C) NO. 14736 OF 2021

THE PRINCIPAL, SREE NARAYANA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, THEPPUPARA, EZHAMKULAM, ADOOR, PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT 691 554. BY ADV TONY GEORGE KANNANTHANAM 1 THE STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, HIGHER EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, GOVT. SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.

REP. BY THE DIRECTOR OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION, OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR, TECHNICAL EDUCATION, NELSON MANDELA MARG. VASANT KUNJ, NEW DELHI 110 070. 5 APJ ABDUL KALAM TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY., REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR, CET CAMPUS, TRIVANDRUM 29.07.2021 ALONG WITH W.P.(C)NO.14730 OF 2021, THE COURT ON THE WP(C) NOS. 14730 & 14736 OF 2021 4

## **JUDGMENT**

When these writ petitions were taken up, Sri. Tony George Kannanthanam, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, submitted that pending consideration of these writ petitions, orders have been issued rejecting the application. It is contended that due to such supervening circumstances, he has instructions from the petitioners to withdraw these writ petitions with liberty to challenge the orders. Reserving the right of the petitioners, as prayed for, these writ petitions are dismissed as withdrawn. Sd/- RAJA VIJAYARAGHAVAN V JUDGE NS

**SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - [sooperkanoon.com](http://sooperkanoon.com)**