

Shankar vs Ramsing and Anr

Shankar vs Ramsing and Anr

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1636501

Court : Karnataka Orders

Decided On : Feb-28-2025

Judge : J.M.Khazi

Appeal No. : RSA/200292/2024

Appellant : Shankar

Respondent : Ramsing and Anr

Judgement :

-1- IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, KALABURAGI BENCH DATED THIS THE 28TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2025 BEFORE THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE J.M.KHAZI REGULAR SECOND APPEAL NO.200292 OF 2024 (INJ) BETWEEN:

1. SHANKAR S/O HAMU CHAVAN, AGE: 65 YEARS, OCC:AGRICULTURE, R/O. KEROOR, TQ. & DIST. KALABURAGI. APPELLANT (BY SRI. ARUNA. P. CHAVAN, ADVOCATE) AND:

1. RAMSING S/O HUMU CHAVAN, AGE: 60 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE, R/O. KEROOR, TQ & DIST. KALABURAGI.

2. ANSUBAI W/O RAMSINGH CHAVAN,

Digitally signed AGE: 55 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE, by SHIVALEELA R/O. KEROOR, TQ & DIST. KALABURAGI. DATTATRAYA UDAGI RESPONDENTS
Location: HIGH COURT OF THIS REGULAR SECOND APPEAL IS FILED UNDER KARNATAKA SECTION 100 AND ORDER 42 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,

JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

-2- CORAM: HON'BLE MS JUSTICE J.M.KHAZI

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MS JUSTICE J.M.KHAZI) No representation for the appellant even in the afternoon session. Vide order dated 12.02.2025 after granting a weeks

time to comply with office objections, a conditional order

was passed stating that if office objections are not complied with within the said period, necessary orders would be passed for dismissal of the appeal. It appears the appellant is not interested in prosecuting the appeal. Hence, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. Sd/- (J.M.KHAZI) JUDGE SDU LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 3

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com