

Yuvaraj vs Ramachandran

Yuvaraj vs Ramachandran

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1636340

Court : Kerala Orders

Decided On : Jun-28-2021

Judge : Honourable Mr.Justice N.Anil Kumar

Appeal No. : RSA/766/2018

Appellant : Yuvaraj

Respondent : Ramachandran

Judgement :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANIL KUMAR
MONDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF JUNE 2021 / 7TH ASHADHA, 1943 RSA
NO. 766 OF 2018 AS 113/2015 OF I ADDITIONAL SUB COURT,
ERNAKULAM OS NO.557/2013 OF I ADDITIONAL MUNSIFF COURT,
ERNAKULAM APPELLANT/S: 1 YUVARAJ, AGED 55 YEARS, S/O.
SIVASANKARAN, NADAMA VILLAGE,KANAYANNUR
TALUK,ERNAKULAM 2 RANI @ POONKUYILI, W/O. YUVARAJ, AGED
47 YEARS, SRI.PHILIP T.VARGHESE SMT.ACHU SUBHA ABRAHAM
SRI.THOMAS T.VARGHESE RESPONDENT/S: RAMACHANDRAN,
AGED 59 YEARS, S/O. VENKITAPATHY, BALAJI, T M C 29/302,
MANJELIPADAM EROOR WEST, DISTRICT - 682 306. T.B.

THANKAPPAN T.T. HARIKUMAR ASHISH VIDYADHARAN THIS REGULAR SECOND APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 28.06.2021, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING: RSA 766/2018 ..2..

JUDGMENT

This appeal is directed against the judgment and decree of the First Additional Sub Court, Ernakulam in AS No. 113 of 2015 dated 04.04.2018, confirming the judgment and decree of the First Additional Munsiff Court, Ernakulam in OS No. 557 of 2013 dated 10.04.2015. The suit was filed for both prohibitory and mandatory injunctions.

2. When this appeal came up for consideration, the

learned counsel for the appellants filed a memo stating that the appellants do not intend to proceed with the appeal as they have removed the gate erected on the western boundary of their property opening to plaint B schedule property as directed by the two courts below. Recording the memo, this RSA stands dismissed as withdrawn. There would be no order as to costs.

Sd/- N.ANIL KUMAR JUDGE Bka/28.06.2021

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com