

Shivaraj vs the Tahsildar

Shivaraj vs the Tahsildar

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1635783

Court : Karnataka Orders

Decided On : Mar-27-2025

Judge : Chillakur Sumalatha

Appeal No. : WP/200951/2025

Appellant : Shivaraj

Respondent : The Tahsildar

Judgement :

-1- IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, KALABURAGI BENCH DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH, 2025 BEFORE THE HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA WRIT PETITION NO.200951 OF 2025 (KLR-RES) BETWEEN: SHIVARAJ S/O MALLAPPA KUDITINI AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURIST, RESIDING AT WARD NO.10, MASKI TOWN,

PETITIONER (BY SMT. SHRIDEVI B. ALBA, ADVOCATE FOR SRI. MAHABALESH K. PATIL, ADVOCATE) Digitally signed by LUCYGRACE AND: Location: High Court Of 1. THE TAHSILDAR, Karnataka MASKI TALUK, OFFICE OF THE TAHSILDAR,

2. THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS (ADLR), MASKI, OFFICE OF THE ADLR, [

3. VEERABHADRAGOUDA S/O K.VEEERANAGOUDA PATIL, -2- AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS, RESIDING NEAR SANTHE BAZAR, MASKI TOWN, RESPONDENTS THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 &

227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER, OR DIRECTION, QUASHING THE ILLEGAL ACTIONS OF THE RESPONDENTS IN ALTERING THE BOUNDARIES AND CREATING A NEW SURVEY MAP IN RESPECT OF THE SCHEDULE PROPERTY BEARING SY.NO.236*/HISSA 1, MEASURING 0.18 GUNTAS, SITUATED AT MASKI VILLAGE, HOBALI,

TALUK:MASKI, DISTRICT: RAICHUR INCLUDING THE MUTATION REGISTER DATED 13.02.2025 IS PRODUCED HERE AS ANNEXURE-E AND ISSUE A WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER, OR DIRECTION, DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO FORTHWITH CEASE AND DESIST FROM INTERFERING WITH THE PETITIONERS PEACEFUL POSSESSION AND ENJOYMENT OF THE SCHEDULE PROPERTY, AND TO RESTORE THE ORIGINAL BOUNDARIES OF THE SCHEDULE PROPERTY AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,

ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA) Mrs.Shridevi B.Alba files a memo seeking permission to withdraw the writ petition. -3-

2. Sri Mahabalesh K.Patil learned counsel for the

petitioner appears through video conference and submits that in the light of the certain material developments that took place, the petitioner intends to withdraw the writ petition.

3. Having considered the submission thus made, permission is granted to withdraw the petition.

4. The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Sd/- (Dr.CHILLAKUR SUMALATHA) JUDGE SN List No.: 1 SI No.: 3 CT : NI

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com