

Robin Vs The State of Tamilnadu

Robin Vs The State of Tamilnadu

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1616727

Court : Chennai

Decided On : Apr-17-2026

Judge : Honourable Mr. Justice P.Dhanabal

Appeal No. : CRL OP(MD)/7523/2026

Appellant : Robin

Respondent : The State of Tamilnadu

Judgement :

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT (Criminal Jurisdiction) Date : 17.04.2026 PRESENT THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL Robin ...Petitioner/ Sole Accused Vs State of Tamil Nadu rep. by The Inspector of Police, All Women Police Station, Nagercoil, (Crime No. 53 of 2025) ...Respondent/Complainant For Petitioner : Mr.L.George Paul Anto Advocate. For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan Additional Public Prosecutor PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS PRAYER :- For Bail in Cr.No. 53 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 25.11.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 5(l), 5(j)(ii), 6 of POCSO

Act, in Crime No. 53 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had a love

affair with the victim girl, aged about 15 years and taking advantage of the same, the petitioner sexually assaulted the victim girl and the victim got pregnant. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit

that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that he has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 25.11.2025. Therefore, prayed to grant bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that there is a love affair between the victim

and the petitioner and the petitioner sexually assaulted the victim girl, due to which the case has been registered under Sections 5(l), 5(j)(ii), 6 of POCSO Act, in Crime No. 53 of 2025. He would further submit that the petitioner has no previous case. He would further submit that the investigation is completed and charge sheet is filed and the offences are grave in nature and hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel

on either side, nature of offence, and considering the facts that there is a love affair between the victim and the petitioner and the investigation is completed and charge sheet is filed by way of e-filing and also the petitioner has no previous case and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant

bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Sessions Judge, Special Court for Exclusive Trial for POCSO Act cases, Nagercoil, Kanyakumari, and on further conditions that: [b] the petitioner shall report before the learned Sessions Judge, Special Court for Exclusive Trial for POCSO Act cases, Nagercoil, Kanyakumari, on all working days at 10.30 a.m., until further orders; [c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he/she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he/she is suspected; [d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial; [e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with

the evidence; [f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]. [g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

(P D B J) 17.04.2026 apd To 1.The Sessions Judge, Special Court for Exclusive Trial for POCSO Act cases, Nagercoil, 2.The Inspector of Police, All Women Police Station, Nagercoil,

3. The Superintendent, District Prison, Nagercoil. 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai. P. DHANABAL, J apd

ORDER

IN CRL OP(MD) No. 7523 of 2026 Date : 17.04.2026

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com