

Hemanthkumar vs the State of Karnataka

Hemanthkumar vs the State of Karnataka

SooperKanoon Citation : sooperkanoon.com/1607670

Court : Karnataka Orders

Decided On : Mar-27-2025

Judge : Jyoti Mulimani

Appeal No. : WP/105751/2016

Appellant : Hemanthkumar

Respondent : The State of Karnataka

Judgement :

-1- IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, DHARWAD BENCH DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF MARCH, 2025 BEFORE THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI MULIMANI WRIT PETITION NO. 105751 OF 2016 (LB-RES) BETWEEN: HEMANTHKUMAR S/O LAKSHMAPPA HAVARAGI, AGE: 33 YEARS, OCC: ADVOCATE, R/O HAVARAGI, TQ: HUNGUND, DIST. BAGALKOT, NOW R/AT. M.R.DAVALE, NEAR BHABUSINGH THAKUR PEDHA, LINE BAZAAR, DHARWAD. PETITIONER [ABSENT] AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA

CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA, Digitally signed by VIDHANA SOUDHA, BENGALURU. PREMCHANDRA M R Location: HIGH COURT OF 2. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA KARNATAKA PRINCIPAL

SECRETARY, RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYAT RAJ, VIDHANA SOUDHA, BENGALURU.

3. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, BAGALKOT, DIST: BAGALKOT,
4. THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, ZILLA PANCHAYAT, BAGALKOT, -2-
5. THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER, TALUKA PANCHAYAT HUNGUND,
6. THE PANCHAYAT DEVELOPMENT OFFICER, GRAMA PANCHAYAT, MAROL,
7. THE PRESIDENT, GRAMA PANCHAYAT,
8. SRI.CHANDRASHEKAR R.CHITTARAGI, EX-PDO, GRAMA PANCHAYAT, DELETED-VIDE ORDER DATED:01.08.2024.
9. SMT. HUSENABI W/O ALLASAB NAYKODI, EX-PRESIDENT, GRAMA PANCHAYAT, RESPONDENTS (BY SRI. PRAVEEN.K.UPPAR., AGA FOR R1-3; SRI. BHUSAN KULKARNI., ADVOCATE FOR R4-7; SRI. S.C.HIREMATH., ADVOCATE FOR R9; R8-DELETED V/O DATED:01.08.2024)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS. THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN B GROUP, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER: -3-

ORAL ORDER

When the case is called, the petitioner party-in-person is not represented. When the case is listed and called out, the party-in-person must be present. The absence may affect the case. As could be seen from the daily order sheet, the petition was listed on 06.03.2025, on that day, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner, either personally or through video conferencing. Hence, for appearance on behalf of the petitioner, the petition was ordered to be listed on 27.03.2025. The petition is listed today for appearance on behalf of the petitioner. As already noted above, when the case is called, the petitioner is not represented.

The petition is filed back in

2016. Now we are in the month of March, 2025. It is pending

from almost nine years. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the petition. Hence, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/- (JYOTI MULIMANI) JUDGE CLK List No.: 1 SI No.: 57

SooperKanoon - India's Premier Online Legal Search - sooperkanoon.com